THE LOCAL THETA REPRESENTATION I

CHUN-HUI WANG

ABSTRACT. In this article, a kind of complex smooth representation of a direct product of two locally profinite groups was introduced and two results about such representation were proved.

arXiv:1408.0625v1 [math.RT] 4 Aug 2014

INTRODUCTION

The complex representation theory of locally profinite groups has been developed in the acceptant manner, since the famous paper [BZ] published. In this text we define a kind of representations of a direct product of two locally profinite groups in this theoretical framework, based on the consideration of the application in the local Howe correspondences. It is originated from Roberts' work [R], and Gan-Tantono's [GT], and we refer to these papers for the original ideas and methods.

To simply our introduction, let us take up the notation and conventions of the next section in advance. Let (π,V) be a smooth representation of a direct product of two locally profinite groups G_1, G_2. We only deal with the case that all irreducible smooth representations of G_1, G_2, and $G_1\times G_2$ are admissible. It is not hard to see that there are two canonical projections $p_1:\mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi)\longrightarrow\mathcal{R}_{G_1}(\pi)$, and $p_2:\mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi)\longrightarrow\mathcal{R}_{G_2}(\pi)$, with the images $\mathcal{R}^0_{G_1}(\pi)$ and $\mathcal{R}^0_{G_2}(\pi)$ respectively. We call (π,V) a *theta representation* of $G_1\times G_2$ if both p_i are injective and $m_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi,\Pi)\leq 1$, for all $\Pi\in\mathrm{Irr}(G_1\times G_2)$. One such representation gives, the *Howe correspondence* in the general sense, between the sets $\mathcal{R}^0_{G_1}(\pi)$ and $\mathcal{R}^0_{G_2}(\pi)$, grouped in the graph $\mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi)$. Our aim will be to provide some simple results for this kind of representations at the beginning level.

Let $H_1\subset G_1, H_2\subset G_2$ be two closed normal subgroups with the isomorphic quotient groups $G_1/H_1\simeq G_2/H_2$, under a map γ. Let $\Gamma/H_1\times H_2$ be the graph of this map. Suppose that all irreducible smooth representations of G_i, H_i are admissible, for $i=1,2$. Let (ρ,V) be a smooth representation of Γ such that its restriction to $H_1\times H_2$ is a theta representation. Write $\pi=\text{c-Ind}_{\Gamma}^{G_1\times G_2}\rho$. Our two main results are the following:

Theorem 0.1. *Suppose that G_i/H_i is an abelian discrete group. If $\mathcal{R}_{H_i}(\pi_i)\neq\emptyset$ for every $\pi_i\in\mathrm{Irr}(G_i)$, $i=1,2$, then π is a theta representation of $G_1\times G_2$.*

Theorem 0.2. *Suppose that G_i/H_i is a compact group. Then π is a theta representation of $G_1\times G_2$.*

The proofs proceed by using the Mackey-Clifford theory about the behaviour of the restriction of irreducible representations of a locally profinite group to its certain invariant subgroups. However we don't touch much about the Hecke algebra theory established in [BD], [B1], which perhaps can do much further. We leave it as a question to answer in future.

1. NOTATION AND CONVENTIONS

We shall follow the notion and conventions of [BZ] and [BH] in the subject of complex representations of locally profinite groups. Let (π,V) be a representation of a locally profinite group G. Call π *smooth* if the stabilizer of every vector of V is open, and *admissible* if its K-invariant subspace is finite-dimensional for any compact open subgroup

Date: 2014, Summer.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F27 (Primary), 20G25 (Secondary).
Key words and phrases. Howe Correspondences, Theta series.

K of G. If H is a closed subgroup of G and (σ,W) is a smooth representation of H, we use the notion of *induced representation*:

$\mathrm{Ind}_H^G\sigma = \{\ f : G \longrightarrow W \mid$ (a) $f(hg) = \sigma(h)f(g)$, for $h \in H, g \in G$, (b) there is a compact open subgroup K_f of G (depending on f) such that f is right K_f-invariant$\}$
and *compact induced representation*:

c-$\mathrm{Ind}_H^G\sigma = \{\ f : G \longrightarrow W \mid f$ satisfies the above conditions (a), (b), and also (c) that f is compactly supported modulo $H\}$. Let $S(G)$ be the space of locally constant functions of compact support. Let $S^*(G)$ denote the set of $\mathbb{C}$-linear functions on $S(G)$, called *distributions*. The so-called *Dirac distribution* δ_g at point g, is defined by $\langle\delta_g, f\rangle = f(g)$, for $f \in S(G)$. Let μ_G be a left Haar measure of G, and recall its action on $S(G)$ is defined by $\langle\mu_G, f\rangle := \int_G f(x)d\mu_G(x)$. There is a unique character $\Delta_G : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^*_{>0}$, called the *modulus* of G, such that $\Delta_G(g)\int_G f(xg)d\mu_G(x) = \int_G f(x)d\mu_G(x)$. In particular, when $\Delta_G \equiv 1_G$, G is called *unimodular*.

Let μ_G be a fixed left Haar measure of G. $S(G)$, when imposed the canonical convolution $*$ defined by $f_1 * f_2(x) = \int_G f_1(y)f_2(y^{-1}x)d\mu_G(y)$ for $f_1, f_2 \in S(G)$, will be called the *Hecke algebra* of G, denoted by $\mathcal{H}(G)$. For a compact open subgroup K of G, one kind of idempotent element e_K of $\mathcal{H}(G)$ is defined by

$$e_K(g) = \begin{cases} \mu_G(K)^{-1} & \text{if } g \in K, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We will write $\mathcal{H}(G,K)$ for the algebra $e_K * \mathcal{H}(G) * e_K$. $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ will denote the category of all smooth representations of G, and $\mathrm{Irr}(G)$ will denote the set of equivalence classes of irreducible smooth representations of G. If $(\sigma,W) \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$, $(\check{\sigma},\check{W})$ denotes its *contragredient*. If $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$, we will let $\mathcal{R}_G(\pi) = \{\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(G) \mid \mathrm{Hom}_G(\pi,\rho) \neq 0\}$, and let $m_G(\pi,\rho) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathrm{Hom}_G(\pi,\rho)$ for $\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$.

In this paper, locally profinite group will be assumed σ-compact that means it is a union of countably many compact sets and the representations will be assumed smooth unless otherwise stated.

2. Some results on representations

This section is devoted to recalling some well-known results in [BZ] and proving some consequences for convenient use. Let H be a closed subgroup of a locally profinite group G, Δ_G(resp. Δ_H) the modulus of G(resp. H). Fix $(\pi,V) \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$, and $(\rho,W) \in \mathrm{Rep}(H)$.

Lemma 2.1. (1) *If H is an open subgroup of G, then $\Delta_H = \Delta_G|_H$.*
(2) *If H is a normal subgroup of G, and G/H is a unimodular group, then $\Delta_H = \Delta_G|_H$.*

Proof. (1) In the known exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow S^*(H\setminus G) \longrightarrow S^*(G) \xrightarrow{i_H^*} S^*(H) \longrightarrow 0$, the map i_H^* sends a left Haar measure μ_G of G to that of H. For an element $f \in S(H) \subset S(G), h \in H$ we have

$$\Delta_G(h)\int_H f(xh)di_H^*(\mu_G)(x) = \Delta_G(h)\int_G f(xh)d\mu_G(x) = \int_G f(x)d\mu_G(x) = \int_H f(x)di_H^*(\mu_G)(x),$$

so $\Delta_G|_H = \Delta_H$.
(2) Let μ_H be a left Haar measure of H and $\mu_{G/H}$ a Haar measure of G/H. Then there is a *well-defined* $\mathbb{C}$-linear map

$$- : S(G) \longrightarrow S(G/H);$$
$$f \longmapsto \Big(\overline{f}(gH) := \int_H f(gh)d\mu_H(h)\Big).$$

Now we look at an element $\mu_G \in S^*(G)$ given by $\langle\mu_G, f\rangle := \langle\mu_{G/H}, \overline{f}\rangle = \int_{G/H}\overline{f}(\overline{g})\mu_{G/H}(\overline{g})$. Let us define the left and right actions of G on itself by $\rho_G(g_0)(g) = g_0g$ and $\gamma_G(g_0)(g) = gg_0^{-1}$ respectively, and extend them conventionally onto the sets $S(G)$ and $S^*(G)$. For $g_0 \in G$, $f \in S(G)$, we then have

$$\langle\rho_G(g_0)\mu_G, f\rangle = \langle\mu_G, \rho_G(g_0^{-1})f\rangle = \langle\mu_{G/H}, \overline{\rho_G(g_0^{-1})f}\rangle = \langle\mu_{G/H}, \rho_{G/H}(\overline{g_0}^{-1})\overline{f}\rangle = \langle\mu_{G/H}, \overline{f}\rangle = \langle\mu_G, f\rangle.$$

This implies that μ_G is a left Haar measure of G. On the other hand, for $h \in H$, we have

$$\langle \Delta_G(h)\mu_G, f\rangle = \langle \gamma_G(h)\mu_G, f\rangle = \langle \mu_G, \gamma_G(h^{-1})f\rangle = \langle \mu_{G/H}, \overline{\gamma_G(h^{-1})f}\rangle = \langle \mu_{G/H}, \Delta_H(h)\overline{f}\rangle = \Delta_H(h)\langle \mu_G, f\rangle;$$

this shows that $\Delta_G|_H = \Delta_H$. □

Remark 2.2. *If G is an abelian group, a simple group, or a union of compact groups, then it is unimodular.*

Theorem 2.3 (Frobenius Reciprocity)**.** (1) $\mathrm{Hom}_G\left(\pi, \mathrm{Ind}_H^G \rho\right) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_H\left(\mathrm{Res}_H^G \pi, \rho\right)$.

(2) $\mathrm{Hom}_G\left(\text{c-Ind}_H^G \rho, \check{\pi}\right) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_H\left(\frac{\Delta_H}{\Delta_G}\rho, (\mathrm{Res}_H^G \pi)^\vee\right)$.

Proof. See [BZ, Pages 23-24]. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let π be an admissible smooth representation of G.*

(1) *If H is an open subgroup of G, then $\mathrm{Res}_H^G \pi$ is also admissible.*

(2) *Let H_1 be a closed subgroup of G, and $H_1 \subseteq H$. If $\mathrm{Res}_H^G \pi$ is admissible, so is $\mathrm{Res}_{H_1}^G \pi$.*

Proof. Immediately. □

Lemma 2.5. *If $\mathrm{Res}_H^G \pi$ is an admissible smooth representation of H, then $\left(\mathrm{Res}_H^G \pi\right)^\vee \simeq \mathrm{Res}_H^G \check{\pi}$.*

Proof. One-side inclusion $\mathrm{Res}_H^G \check{\pi} \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{Res}_H^G \pi)^\vee$ is clear. It is sufficient to show that $[(\mathrm{Res}_H^G V)^\vee)]^{K\cap H}$ belongs to $\mathrm{Res}_H^G \check{V}$ for any open compact subgroup K of G. By definition, the set $[(\mathrm{Res}_H^G V)^\vee)]^{K\cap H}$ consists of the $\mathbb{C}$-linear functions $f : V^{K\cap H} \oplus V[K\cap H] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f|_{V[K\cap H]} = 0$, where $V[K\cap H] = \{\sum c_i(\pi(g_i)v_i - v_i) \mid c_i \in \mathbb{C}, v_i \in V, g_i \in K\cap H\}$. Suppose now that $V^{K\cap H}$ is spanned by $v_1, \cdots, v_n$ in V. And let $U_i = \mathrm{Stab}_G(v_i)$. Then $f \in \left(\mathrm{Res}_H^G \check{V}\right)^{U_1\cap\cdots\cap U_n} \subseteq \mathrm{Res}_H^G \check{V}$. □

Lemma 2.6. $(\text{c-Ind}_H^G \rho)^\vee \simeq \mathrm{Ind}_H^G(\frac{\Delta_G}{\Delta_H}\check{\rho})$.

Proof. See [BZ, Page 23]. □

Corollary 2.7. *If H, G are two groups satisfying any condition in Lemma 2.1 and $\mathrm{Res}_H^G \pi$ is a smooth admissible representation of H, then*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G\left(\text{c-Ind}_H^G \rho, \pi\right) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_H\left(\rho, \mathrm{Res}_H^G \pi\right).$$

Lemma 2.8. *Let G_1 be a closed subgroup of G such that the canonical map $H\cap G_1 \setminus G_1 \xrightarrow{e} H\setminus G$ is bijective. Then e is homeomorphic.*

Proof. The result can be deduced from [BZ, Page 7, Corollary] by considering the right action of G_1 on $H\setminus G$ and by taking $x_0 = [H] \in H\setminus G$ there. □

Lemma 2.9. (1) *Let K_1, K_2 be two compact sets of G. Then $K_1 \ltimes K_2 = \left\{xyx^{-1} \mid x \in K_1, y \in K_2\right\}$ is also a compact set of G.*

(2) *Suppose now that (1) K_1, K_2 both are two compact subgroups of E, for an open compact group E of G, and that (2) K_2 is also an open subset of G. Then $K_0 = \cap_{k\in K_1} kK_2k^{-1}$ is an open subgroup of K_2.*

Proof. 1) Let us consider the continuous map: $G\times G \longrightarrow G; (x,y) \longmapsto xyx^{-1}$. Then $K_1 \ltimes K_2$ is just the image of the compact set $K_1 \times K_2$.

2) Note that $E\setminus K_0 = \cup_{k\in K_1} k(E\setminus K_2)k^{-1}$. Since $E\setminus K_2$ is also a compact set, applying the above (1) shows that $E\setminus K_0$ is also closed, so K_0 is an open set of E as well as G. □

Proposition 2.10. *Let G_1 be a closed subgroup of G such that the canonical map $e : H_1\setminus G_1 \longrightarrow H\setminus G$ is homeomorphic, where $H_1 = H\cap G_1$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Res}_{G_1}^G\left(\text{c-Ind}_H^G \rho\right) \simeq \text{c-Ind}_{H_1}^{G_1}\left(\mathrm{Res}_{H_1}^H \rho\right).$$

Proof. Let K_1 be an open compact subgroup of G_1. Let $\Omega = \{g_i \in G_1\}_{i\in I}$ be a set of representatives for $H_1 \backslash G_1/K_1$ as well as $H\backslash G/K_1$. For each $g_1 \in \Omega$, we write $K_{1_{g_1}} = g_1K_1g_1^{-1}$. By [BZ, Page 22, Lemma], there exists a bijection:

$$i : (\text{c-Ind}_{H_1}^{G_1}\rho)^{K_1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_1 = \Big\{ f : \Omega \longrightarrow W \mid f(g_1) \in W^{K_{1g_1}\cap H_1} \text{ for } g_1 \in \Omega \text{ and the support of } f \text{ is a finite set} \Big\}.$$

Here, i is the restriction of functions from G_1 to Ω. For $f \in (\text{c-Ind}_H^G W)^{K_1}$, $h \in H$, $g_1 \in \Omega$, $k_1 \in K_1$, we have $f(hg_1k_1) = \rho(h)f(g_1)$, and $f(g_1) \in W^{K_{1_{g_1}}\cap H_1}$ by observing $K_{1_{g_1}} \cap H_1 = K_{1_{g_1}} \cap H$. Recall that $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq HK$ for some compact set K of G. Note that the collection $\{Hg_1K_1/H \mid g_1 \in \Omega\}$ is an open cover of G/H, so it is clear that the compact set HK/H has finite subcover. In this way, we verify that $f|_\Omega$ belongs to the above set $\mathcal{K}_1$.

Next, for $f \in \mathcal{K}_1$, we define a function φ_f from G to W by $\varphi_f(g) = \rho(h)f(g_1)$ for $g = hg_1k_1$ with $h \in H, g_1 \in \Omega, k_1 \in K_1$. To show φ_f belongs to $(\text{c-Ind}_H^G \rho)^{K_1}$ it suffices to verify that φ_f is smooth. For then we assume $E_0 \cap G_1 \subseteq K_1 \subseteq E_1 \cap G_1$ for some open compact subgroups E_0, E_1 of G. Suppose now that $\text{supp}(f) \cap \Omega = \{g_1, \cdots, g_m\}$ and $f(g_i) = v_{g_i}$ lies in $W^{K_{1g_i}\cap H_1}$. We may and do take an open compact subgroups F_i of G such that $v_{g_i} \in W^{F_{i_{g_i}}\cap H}$ and $F_i \subseteq E_0$. Suppose now that $Hg_i(F_i \cap G_1) \supseteq Hg_iL_i$, for some open compact subgroups L_i of F_i and G. Now we define a new open compact subgroup K of G by $K := \cap_{i=1}^m L_i$, which satisfies $Hg_iK \subseteq Hg_iL_i \subseteq Hg_i(F_i \cap G_1)$. For $k \in K$, when decomposed as $k = g_i^{-1}h_ig_il_i$, for $h_i \in H \cap F_{i_{g_i}}$, $l_i \in F_i \cap G_1 \subseteq E_0 \cap G_1 \subseteq K_1$, we then have

$$\varphi_f(g_ik) = \varphi_f(h_ig_il_i) = \varphi_f(h_ig_i) = \rho(h_i)f(g_i) = f(g_i) = \varphi_f(g_i).$$

We also need to discuss the other $g \in \Omega$ besides those g_i. For this purpose let us consider a smaller subgroup K_0 of K given by $K_0 = \cap_{k_1\in K_1} k_1^{-1}Kk_1$. Note that K, K_1 both are subgroups of E_1. By Lemma 2.9 (2), we know that K_0 is an open compact subgroup of G satisfying $K_0K_1 = K_1K_0$. For $g \in \Omega \setminus \{g_1, \cdots, g_m\}$, we have $HgK_1 \subseteq HgK_1K_0 \subseteq HgK_0K_1 \subseteq HgKK_1 \subseteq HgK_1$, and $0 = \varphi_f(g) = \varphi_f(gk_0)$, for $k_0 \in K_0$.

By the above discussion, the canonical restriction from $\text{Res}_{G_1}^G\big(\text{c-Ind}_H^G W\big)$ to $\text{c-Ind}_{H_1}^{G_1} W$ given by $f \longrightarrow f|_{G_1}$ is bijective. This completes the proof. □

3. The projective representations of locally profinite groups

In this section, we give some basic results about smooth projective representations of locally profinite groups. Our main references are [CR] and [BH]. Let G be a locally profinite group with the identity element 1_G.

Definition 3.1. *A* smooth projective representation (π, V) *of G is a map $\pi : G \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_G(V)$, for a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space V, such that*

(1) *$\pi(g_1)\pi(g_2) = \alpha(g_1,g_2)\pi(g_1g_2)$ for a 2-cocycle $\alpha(-,-)$ in the continuous cohomology $\text{H}^2(G,\mathbb{C}^\times)$(*cf. [AM]*);*
(2) *for each vector $0 \neq v \in V$, there exists an open neighborhood U_v of 1_G, and a continuous map $\chi_v : U_v \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ satisfying $\pi(g)v = \chi_v(g)v$, for any $g \in U_v$.*

Remark 3.2. (1) *Let K_v be an open compact subgroup of U_v. Then $\alpha(g_1,g_2) = \chi_v^{-1}(g_1g_2)\chi_v(g_1)\chi_v(g_2)$, for $g_1, g_2 \in K_v$, i.e. the restriction of $[\alpha]$ to K_v is trivial.*
(2) *Under the above situation, $\pi_v : K_v \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V); g \longmapsto \pi(g)\chi_v^{-1}(g)$ is a representation of K_v. Moreover, this representation is smooth.*

Proof. Let us check the last statement of Part (2). For any $0 \neq w \in V$, there is an open compact subgroup $K_w \subseteq K_v$, and a continuous map $\chi_w : K_w \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that (1) $\pi(h)w = \chi_w(h)w$, for $h \in K_w$; (2) $\alpha(h_1,h_2) = \chi_w^{-1}(h_1h_2)\chi_w(h_1)\chi_w(h_2)$, for $h_1, h_2 \in K_w$; (3) $\pi_w : K_w \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V); h \longmapsto \pi(h)\chi_w^{-1}(h)$ is a representation of K_w. Note that $\chi_v|_{K_w}$ differs from χ_w by a character $\chi_{v,w}$ of K_w, so the kernel of $\chi_{v,w}$ is an open subgroup U of K_w. Hence we conclude that the stabilizer of w in the representation (π_v, V) of K_v contains that U. □

Remark 3.3. *Let H a closed subgroup of G, then the restriction of (π, V) to H is also a smooth projective representation.*

For a compact open subgroup K of G, we let $\mathcal{X}_K$ denote the set of all continuous maps from K to $\mathbb{C}^\times$, and for $\chi \in \mathcal{X}_K$, let $V^{K,\chi} = \{v \in V \mid \pi(g)v = \chi(g)v \text{ for } g \in K\}$. Note that $V = \cup_K \cup_\chi V^{K,\chi}$ as K runs through all open compact subgroups of G, and $\chi \in \mathcal{X}_K$. Let $V[K,\chi]$ be a linear space spanned by $\pi(k)v - \chi(k)v$ for $v \in V, g \in K$. Then the following result comes from above Remark 3.2:

Corollary 3.4. *If $V^{K,\chi} \neq 0$, for an open compact subgroup K of G, and $\chi \in \mathcal{X}_K$, then*

(1) *there is a smooth representation representation (π_χ, V) of K, defined by $k \longmapsto \pi(k)\chi^{-1}(k)$ for $k \in K$,*
(2) *$V^{K,\chi}$ is just the K-invariant part of (π_χ, V),*
(3) $V[K,\chi] = \left\{\sum_i \pi_\chi(k_i)v_i - v_i \mid k_i \in K, v_i \in V\right\}$.

A *G-morphism* between two smooth projective representations (π_1, V_1) and (π_2, V_2) of G is just a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $F : V_1 \longrightarrow V_2$ such that

$$F(\pi_1(g)v) = \mu(g)\pi_2(g)F(v)$$

for $g \in G$, $v \in V_1$, and a continuous map $\mu : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. Let $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\pi_1, \pi_2)$ or $\mathrm{Hom}_G(V_1, V_2)$ denote all those morphisms. By observation, $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\pi_1, \pi_2) = 0$, unless the two 2-cocycles related to (π_1, V_1) and (π_2, V_2) represent the same class in $\mathrm{H}^2(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$. We call (π_1, V_1) a *subrepresentation* of (π_2, V_2) if there exists a nontrivial injective morphism in $\mathrm{Hom}_G(V_1, V_2)$. If (π_1, V_1) has no nonzero proper subrepresentation, we say (π_1, V_1) *irreducible*. Two projective irreducible smooth representations (π_1, V_1), (π_2, V_2) of G are *isomorphic*, denoted by $\pi_1 \simeq \pi_2$, if there exists a bijective $\mathbb{C}$-linear map in $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\pi_1, \pi_2)$ (its inverse is also a G-morphism.). Schur's Lemma(see [BH, Page 21]) tells us that $\dim \mathrm{Hom}_G(V_1, V_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \pi_1 \simeq \pi_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ The following result is analogue of Corollary 2 in [BH, Page 16].

Lemma 3.5. *Let (π, V) be a smooth projective representation of G. Then $V = V^{K,\chi} \oplus V[K,\chi]$.*

Proof. If $V^{K,\chi} \neq 0$, then the result arises from Corollary 3.4, and [BH, Page 16, Corollary 2]. If $V^{K,\chi} = 0$, we take a nonzero $v \in V$, such that $v \in V^{K_v,\chi_v}$ for some open compact subgroup $K_v \subseteq K$. If there exists an element $g \in K_v$ such that $\chi_v(g) - \chi(g) = \frac{1}{c}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, then $\pi(g)(cv) - \chi(g)(cv) = (\chi_v(g) - \chi(g))(cv) = v$ gives the result. Otherwise $\chi_v = \chi|_{K_w}$. As a consequence of Lemma 2.9, we may and do assume that K_v is a normal subgroup of K, so that K/K_v is a finite group. The restriction of (π, V) to K gives a smooth projective representation of K, which is isomorphic to another one defined by $k \longmapsto \pi(k)\chi(k)^{-1}$, denoted by (π_χ, K, V). According to the above discussion, the restriction of π_χ to K_v is a real representation, and its K_v-part V^{K_v} is K/K_v-invariant, which gives a projective representation of K/K_v, denoted by (σ_v, V^{K_v}). Let (σ_v, W) be an irreducible constituent of (σ_v, V^{K_v}) containing v. By hypothesis, W is not the trivial representation, so that W is spanned by those $\pi_\chi(g_i)v_i - v_i$ for $g_i \in K$, $v_i \in W$. This proves the last case. □

Keep the notations. As usual, we define the contragredient projective representation of (π, V) by $(\check{\pi}, \check{V})$, where $\check{V} = \cup_K \cup_\chi (V^*)^{K,\chi}$ as K runs through all open compact subgroups of G, and $\chi \in \mathcal{X}_K$. Note that the associated class of $(\check{\pi}, \check{V})$ in $\mathrm{H}^2(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ is just $[\alpha^{-1}(-,-)]$. One says that (π, V) is *admissible* if the space $V^{K,\chi}$ is finite-dimensional for any open compact subgroup K of G, and $\chi \in \mathcal{X}_K$. In this situation, by Lemma 3.5, one has

Lemma 3.6. (1) $\check{V}^{K,\chi^{-1}} \simeq (V^{K,\chi})^*$.
(2) $(\pi, V) \simeq (\check{\check{\pi}}, \check{\check{V}})$.

We also present another result for later use.

Lemma 3.7. *Let (π_1, V_1), (π_2, V_2) be two projective smooth representations of G. Suppose now that (π_2, V_2) is admissible. Then*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(\pi_1, \pi_2) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_G(\pi_1 \otimes \check{\pi}_2, \mathbb{C}).$$

Proof. If the associated classes of (π_1,V_1) and (π_2,V_2) are not the same, then both sides vanish. Otherwise the bijection $f \longleftrightarrow g$ is well determined by

$$\langle f(v_1),\check{v}_2\rangle = g(v_1\otimes\check{v}_2)$$

for $v_1\in V_1,\check{v}_2\in\check{V}_2$, since $\check{\check{V}}_2\simeq V_2$. □

4. The quotient of a smooth representation

In this section, we will let G be a locally profinite group, and let (ρ,V) be a smooth representation of G. For $(\pi,W)\in\mathrm{Irr}(G)$, we let $V[\pi]=\cap_{f\in\mathrm{Hom}_G(V,W)}\ker(f)$. The set $V_\pi=V/V[\pi]$ is called the *greatest π-isotypic quotient* of V with a canonical map $V\xrightarrow{p}V_\pi$, which satisfies the universal property: For any G-homomorphism f from V to W, it factors uniquely through p as in the commutative diagram



Note that $\mathrm{Hom}_G(V,W)=0$ if and only if $V_\pi=0$. In particular, if $\pi=1_G$, then V_π is just the G-coinvariant set V_G of V and $V[\pi]=V[G]$ is generated by all the vectors $\rho(g)v-v$ for $g\in G$, $v\in V$.

Proposition 4.1. *If (ρ,V) is finitely generated, then $(\rho,V)=0$ if and only if $\mathcal{R}_G(\rho)=\emptyset$.*

Proof. See [BZ, Page 16, Lemma]. □

Proposition 4.2. *Let H be a closed subgroup of G.*

1. *If H is also open, and (σ,U) is a finitely generated smooth representation of H, then* c-$\mathrm{Ind}_H^G\sigma$ *is finitely generated.*
2. *If $H\backslash G$ is compact, and (π,V) is a finitely generated smooth representation of G, then* $\mathrm{Res}_H^G\rho$ *is finitely generated.*

Proof. (1) Since H is open, the compact induction c-$\mathrm{Ind}_H^G\sigma$ is just $\mathbb{C}[G]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}[H]}\pi$; hence the result follows.
(2) Let $\{v_1,\cdots,v_n\}$ be the set of generators of V as G-module. Choose an open compact subgroup K of G such that $\varepsilon_K * v_i = v_i$ for $1\le i\le n$. By assumption $H\backslash G$ is compact, so there exists a finite number of elements $g_1,\cdots,g_m$ of G such that $G=\cup_{i=1}^m Hg_iK$. Therefore the representation $\mathrm{Res}_H^G\rho$ is generated by those $\rho(g_i)v_j$, $i=1,\cdots,m$, $j=1,\cdots,n$, as $\mathcal{H}(H)$-module. □

Definition 4.3. (1) *If $m_G(\rho,\pi)$ is finite for all $\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}(G)$, we will call ρ a* ***representation of finite-dimensional quotient****.*
(2) *If $m_G(\rho,\pi)\le 1$ for all $\rho\in\mathrm{Irr}(G)$, we will call ρ* ***multiplicity-free****.*

Proposition 4.4. *Let (ρ,V) be a finitely generated smooth representation of G, and suppose that all the irreducible representations of G are admissible. Then ρ is a representation of finite-dimensional quotient.*

Proof. Assume that V is generated by the elements $v_1,\cdots,v_n$ as $\mathcal{H}(G)$-module. Let $(\pi,W)\in\mathrm{Irr}(G)$ and $f\in\mathrm{Hom}_G(V,W)$. Then for $v=\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^m c_{ij}\rho(g_j)v_i\in V$ we have

$$f(v)=f(\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^m c_{ij}\rho(g_j)v_i)=\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^m c_{ij}\pi(g_j)f(v_i),$$

which is determined by its values at the points $v_1,\cdots,v_n$. We choose an open compact subgroup K of G fixing all the vectors $v_1,\cdots,v_n$; then $f(v_i)$ must take the value in W^K for every i. Under the admissible assumption, the vector space W^K is finite-dimensional, so $m_G(V,W)\le n\dim W^K<\infty$. □

Lemma 4.5. *Under the above situation, let $(\pi,W)\in\mathcal{R}_G(\rho)$, and suppose $m_G(\rho,\pi)=m<\infty$. Then V_π is a $\mathcal{H}(G)$-module of finite length with the Jordan-Holder set $\{\pi\}$.*

Proof. Let $f_1,\cdots,f_m$ be a set of $\mathbb{C}$-linear independent functions in $\mathrm{Hom}_G(V,W)$. Then $\prod_{i=1}^m f_i: V\longrightarrow\prod_{i=1}^m W$ is a G-morphism with the kernel $\cap_{i=1}^m\ker f_i$. Note that every $g\in\mathrm{Hom}_G(V,W)$ is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i f_i$, for some $c_i\in\mathbb{C}$. So $\ker g\supseteq\cap_{i=1}^m\ker f_i$, $V[\pi]=\cap_{i=1}^m\ker f_i$, and the result is proved. □

5. The representations of finite-dimensional quotient

In this section, we will let F be a non-archimedean local field with finite residue field, $\mathbf{G}$ a connected reductive group over F. Denote by $G=\mathbf{G}(F)$ the F-points of $\mathbf{G}$. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be a parabolic F-subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ admitting a F-Levi decomposition $\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{MN}$ (here $\mathbf{M}$ is a connected reductive F-group and $\mathbf{N}$ is the unipotent radical of $\mathbf{P}$). Following Bernstein we write $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ for the parabolic subgroup opposite to $\mathbf{P}$ with the Levi decomposition $\overline{\mathbf{P}}=\mathbf{M}\,\overline{\mathbf{N}}$. Denote by $P=\mathbf{P}(F)$, $\overline{P}=\overline{\mathbf{P}}(F)$, $M=\mathbf{M}(F)$, $N=\mathbf{N}(F)$, $\overline{N}=\overline{\mathbf{N}}(F)$. (*cf.* [S], Pages 13-14)

Let (π,V) be a smooth representation of G. The N-coinvariant space V_N inherits a smooth representation π_N of M, called the **Jacquet module** of (π,V) at N. Define the Jacquet functor $J_N:\mathrm{Rep}(G)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Rep}(M)$ by $J_N(V)=V_N$. Let (σ,W) be a smooth representation of M, viewed also as a smooth representation of P. Define the parabolically induced functor as follows:

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{P\supset M}^G:\mathrm{Rep}(M)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Rep}(G);$$
$$W\longmapsto\mathrm{Ind}_P^G W.$$

Now we recall some fundamental but difficultly achieved results including the following Theorems 5.1 5.2 5.3 in the subject of the complex representations of p-adic reductive groups. For the proofs, one can consult [B2, Page 18, Theorem], [C, Page 60, Theorem 6.3.10] and [B1, Theorem] respectively.

Theorem 5.1. *The functors $\mathrm{Ind}_{P\supset M}^G, J_N$ both map finitely generated (resp. admissible) representations into finitely generated (resp. admissible) representations.*

Theorem 5.2. *Let (π,V) be a smooth representation of G. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *The G-module V has finite length.*
(2) *π is admissible and finitely generated.*

Theorem 5.3. *All the smooth irreducible representations of G are admissible.*

Corollary 5.4. *The functors J_N and $\mathrm{Ind}_{P\supset M}^G$ both map the smooth representations of finite length into the smooth representations of finite length.*

Proof. This comes from Theorems 5.1 5.2 5.3. □

The following unexpected theorem is due to Bernstein.

Theorem 5.5 ([B2], Main theorem)**.** *Let $\rho\in\mathrm{Rep}(M)$, $\pi\in\mathrm{Rep}(G)$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{P\supset M}^G\tfrac{\Delta_G}{\Delta_P}\rho,\pi\right)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_M\left(\rho,\pi_{\overline{N}}\right).$$

Lemma 5.6. *If (π,V) is a smooth representation of finite-dimensional quotient of G, and (ρ,W) is a smooth representation of G of finite length, then $m_G(\pi,\rho)<\infty$.*

Proof. If $0=W_0\subseteqq W_1\subseteqq\cdots\subseteqq W_s=W$ is a complete chain of $\mathcal{H}(G)$-modules in W, then there is an exact sequence

$$1\longrightarrow W_{s-1}\longrightarrow W\longrightarrow W/W_{s-1}\longrightarrow 1;$$

applying the left exact functor $\mathrm{Hom}_G(V,-)$ on it we obtain

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_G(V,W_{s-1}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_G(V,W) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_G(V,W/W_{s-1}).$$

It follows that

$$m_G(V,W) \leqq m_G(V,W_{s-1}) + m_G(V,W/W_{s-1}).$$

By induction, we get

$$m_G(V,W) \leqq \sum_{i=1}^{s} m_G(V,W_i/W_{i-1}) < \infty.$$

□

Lemma 5.7. *Under the conditions of above Lemma, for $\pi_1 \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$, if $m_G(\pi,\pi_1) = m$ and $m_G(\pi_1,\rho) = n$, for some positive integers m,n, then $m_G(\pi,\rho) \geq \max\{m,n\}$.*

Proof. Assume first that $m \geq n$. Let $f_1,\cdots,f_m$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-linear independent functions in $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\pi,\pi_1)$ and $0 \neq g \in \mathrm{Hom}_G(\pi_1,\rho)$. Then $g\circ f_1,\cdots,g\circ f_m$ all belong to $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\pi,\rho)$ and are $\mathbb{C}$-linear independent. So the result holds for $m \geq n$. The similar proof also works for the case $n > m$. □

Lemma 5.8. *Let (π,V) be a smooth representation of N; V^N denotes its N-invariant set and V_N denotes its N-coinvariant set. Then the canonical map $p_N : V^N \longrightarrow V_N$ is injective.*

Proof. Assume $p_N(v) = 0$ for $v \in V^N$. As it is known, the group N is exhausted by its open compact subgroups. It follows that $V[N] = \cup_K V[K]$ for all open compact subgroups K of N. In particular, there exists one K_v, such that $v \in V[K_v]$. In other words, $v = \sum_{i=1}^n \pi(k_i)v_i - v_i$ for some $v_i \in V$ and $k_i \in K_v$. Then $v = \pi(e_{K_v})v = \pi(e_{K_v})\Big(\sum_{i=1}^n \pi(k_i)v_i - v_i\Big) = 0$, and p_N is injective. □

Corollary 5.9. *Let (π,V) be a smooth representation of finite-dimensional quotient of M (viewed as a representation of P), (ρ,W) a smooth irreducible representation of G. Then $m_P(V,W) < \infty$.*

Proof. Suppose $T \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(V,W)$. By definition, $T(v) = T(\pi(n)v) = \rho(n)T(v)$ for $n \in N, v \in V$, which implies that the image of T lies in W^N. Composing T with the canonical injective map $W^N \overset{p_N}{\hookrightarrow} W_N$ yields a map in $\mathrm{Hom}_P(V,W_N)$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}_P(V,-)$ is left exact, as is easy to see that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_P(V,W) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_P(V,W_N) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_M(V,W_N).$$

By Corollary 5.4, W_N is a representation of M of finite length. So the result follows immediately from Lemma 5.6. □

Proposition 5.10. *The functors $\mathrm{Ind}_{P\supset M}^G$ and J_N preserve the class of smooth representations of finite-dimensional quotient.*

Proof. (1) Let (π,V) be a smooth representation of finite-dimensional quotient of M and $(\rho,W) \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$. Theorem 5.5 tells us that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{P\supset M}^G \pi,\rho\right) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_M\left(\tfrac{\Delta_P}{\Delta_G}\pi,\rho_{\overline{N}}\right).$$

By Corollary 5.4, $\rho_{\overline{N}}$ has finite length. By Lemma 5.6, the dimension of $\mathrm{Hom}_M\left(\frac{\Delta_P}{\Delta_G}\pi,\rho_{\overline{N}}\right)$ is finite. So we prove the result for $\mathrm{Ind}_{P\supset M}^G$.
(2) Now let (π,V) be a smooth representation of finite-dimensional quotient of G and $(\rho,W) \in \mathrm{Irr}(M)$. By virtue of Frobenius Reciprocity, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_M(J_N(\pi),\rho) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_G(\pi,\mathrm{Ind}_{P\supset M}^G \rho).$$

The result then follows from Corollary 5.4 and Lemma 5.6. □

6. The quotient of smooth representations

We will let G_1, G_2 be two locally profinite groups, and let (π, S) be a smooth representation of $G_1 \times G_2$. We are interested in the relationship of the sets $\mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(S)$, $\mathcal{R}_{G_1}(S)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{G_2}(S)$.

First of all, let us recall two technical lemmas proved by Waldspurger in [MVW, Pages 45-46].

Lemma 6.1. *Let (π_1, V_1) be an irreducible admissible representation of G_1, (π_2, V_2) a smooth representation of G_2. If a vector subspace W of $V_1 \otimes V_2$ is $G_1 \times G_2$-invariant, then there is a unique G_2-submodule V_2' of V_2 such that $W \simeq V_1 \otimes V_2'$.*

Lemma 6.2. *Let (π_1, V_1) be an irreducible* admissible *representation of G_1, (σ, W) a smooth representation of $G_1 \times G_2$. Suppose that $\cap \ker(f) = 0$ for all $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(W, V_1)$. Then there is a unique smooth representation (π_2', V_2') of G_2 such that $\sigma \simeq \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2'$.*

Now let (π_1, V_1) be an irreducible admissible representation of G_1, $S_{\pi_1} = S/S[\pi_1]$ the greatest π_1-isotypic quotient. By Lemma 6.2, $S_{\pi_1} \simeq \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2'$, and $\pi_2' \simeq \left(\check{V}_1 \otimes S_{\pi_1}\right)_{G_1}$. Passaging to the $\mathbb{C}$-linear dual of π_2', we get the following isomorphisms of G_2-modules:

$$\pi_2'^{*} \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\check{V}_1 \otimes S_{\pi_1}, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(S_{\pi_1}, V_1) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(S, V_1) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\check{V}_1 \otimes S, \mathbb{C}).$$

Moreover considering their G_2-smooth parts, we get

$$(\pi_2')^{\vee} \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(S, V_1)^{\infty} \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\check{V}_1 \otimes S, \mathbb{C})^{\infty}.$$

In this way, we can see that $(\pi_2')^{\vee}$ is more easy to approach than π_2' itself.

Now let us finish this section by proving another statement in [R] about the quotient of the smooth representation (π, S) of $G_1 \times G_2$.

Lemma 6.3. *Follow the above notations, and suppose that (π_2, V_2) is an irreducible admissible representation of G_2. Then*

1. $\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1\times G_2}(S, V_1 \otimes V_2) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1\times G_2}(S_{\pi_1}, V_1 \otimes V_2)$.
2. $\mathrm{Hom}_{G_2}(\pi_2', \pi_2) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2', \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)$.

Proof. (1) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a basis of the vector space V_2. For an element $e \in V_2$, we will denote the canonical projection

$$V_1 \otimes V_2 \longrightarrow V_1 \otimes e$$

by p_e. For $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1\times G_2}\left(S, V_1 \otimes V_2\right)$, the composing map $p_e \circ f$ belongs to $\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(S, V_1)$. Clearly $\cap_{e\in\mathcal{A}} \ker(p_e \circ f) = \ker(f)$. It follows that

$$S[\pi_1] = \cap_{g\in \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(S,V_1)} \ker(g) \subseteq S[\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2] = \cap_{f\in \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1\times G_2}(S, V_1\otimes V_2)} \ker(f).$$

Hence by definition every map $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1\times G_2}(S, V_1 \otimes V_2)$ needs to factor through $S_{\pi_1} \longrightarrow V_1 \otimes V_2$.
(2) The isomorphism is given by $\varphi \longrightarrow 1 \otimes \varphi$. This map is well-defined and injective. It suffices to check the surjection. Let $0 \neq \varphi' \in \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1\times G_2}(V_1 \otimes V_2', V_1 \otimes V_2)$ and $0 \neq e_2' \in V_2'$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a basis of V_2 and $V_{2,i} = \mathbb{C}e_i$ for $i \in I$. Namely $V_1 \otimes V_2 \simeq \oplus_{i\in I} V_1 \otimes V_{2,i}$, which can be viewed as a sub-space of $\prod_{i\in I} V_1 \otimes V_{2,i}$. We will denote the projection form $\prod_{i\in I} V_1 \otimes V_{2,i}$ to $V_1 \otimes V_{2,i}$ by p_i. Through φ' and

$$V_1 \otimes V_2 \longrightarrow \prod_{i\in I} V_1 \otimes V_{2,i} \xrightarrow{p_i} V_1 \otimes V_{2,i},$$

we get a G_1-homomorphism

$$\varphi_i' : V_1 \otimes e_2' \longrightarrow V_1 \otimes V_{2,i}.$$

Since π_1 is admissible, by virtue of Schur's lemma the map φ_i' is given by

$$\sum_k v_k \otimes e_2' \longmapsto \sum_k v_k \otimes c_i e_i,$$

for some $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$. On the other hand $\prod_{i\in I}\varphi_i' : V_1 \otimes e_2' \longrightarrow \prod_{i\in I} V_1 \otimes V_{2,i}$ has to factor through $V_1 \otimes e_2' \longrightarrow V_1 \otimes V_2$, so $\varphi_i' = 0$ for all but a finite number of indices i. Therefore we can define a map

$$\varphi_{e_2'} : \mathbb{C}e_2' \longrightarrow V_2; \varphi_{e_2'}(e_2') = \sum_{i\in I} c_i e_i,$$

which satisfies

$$\varphi'|_{V_1\otimes e_2'} = 1 \otimes \varphi_{e_2'}.$$

In this way, for any non-trivial element $v_2' \in V_2'$ we construct a map $\varphi_{v_2'} : \mathbb{C}v_2' \longrightarrow V_2$. For $v_2' = 0$, we can simply let $\varphi_{v_2'} = 0$. Then these maps satisfy

(1) $\varphi'|_{V_1\otimes v_2'} = 1 \otimes \varphi_{v_2'}$, for $v_2' \in V_2'$.
(2) $\varphi_{\alpha v_2' + \beta v_2''} = \varphi_{\alpha v_2'} + \varphi_{\beta v_2''} = \alpha\varphi_{v_2'} + \beta\varphi_{v_2''}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $v_2', v_2'' \in V_2'$.

So we can define a map φ from V_2' to V_2 in the following way:

$$\sum_i v_{2,i}' \longmapsto \sum_i \varphi_{v_{2,i}'}(v_{2,i}').$$

It is well-defined and $\mathbb{C}$-linear satisfying $\varphi' = 1 \otimes \varphi$, which forces φ to be G_2-equivariant, i.e. $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{G_2}(V_2', V_2)$. □

7. SOME DEFINITIONS

In this section, we will introduce the main notion-the theta representation. For our purpose, we will assume all the irreducible smooth representations of the locally profinite groups G_1, G_2, $G_1 \times G_2$ are admissible. According to [BZ, Page 20, Proposition], every smooth irreducible representation of $G_1 \times G_2$ has the unique form $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ for $\pi_i \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_i)$, $i = 1, 2$.

Proposition 7.1. *Let (π, S) be a smooth finitely generated representation of $G_1 \times G_2$. Then*

(1) *π is a smooth representation of finite-dimensional quotient.*
(2) *$\mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(S) = \emptyset$ if and only if $(\pi, S) = 0$.*
(3) *For $\pi_1 \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_1)$, let S_{π_1} denote the greatest π_1-isotypic quotient of π. If $S_{\pi_1} \simeq \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2'$, then π_2' is a finitely generated smooth representation of G_2.*

Proof. (1) and (2) follow from Proposition 4.4 and Proposition 4.1 respectively. For (3) there is $S_{\pi_1} \simeq S/S[\pi_1] \simeq \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2'$. By hypothesis, $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2'$ is generated by a set $\{v_1^{(1)} \otimes v_2^{'(1)}, \cdots, v_1^{(n)} \otimes v_2^{'(n)}\}$ as $\mathcal{H}\big(G_1 \times G_2\big)$-module. Since (π_1, V_1) is an irreducible admissible representation of G_1, applying Lemma 6.1 we know that π_2' is generated by $v_2^{'(1)}, \cdots, v_2^{'(n)}$ as $\mathcal{H}(G_2)$-module. □

Lemma 7.2. *Let (π, S) be an admissible smooth representation of $G_1 \times G_2$, and write $S_{\pi_1} \simeq \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2'$. Then π_2' is also an admissible smooth representation of G_2.*

Proof. By definition, there is a short exact sequence of $\mathcal{H}\big(G_1 \times G_2\big)$-modules: $1 \longrightarrow S_0 \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow S_{\pi_1} \simeq \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2' \longrightarrow 1$. So S_{π_1} is an admissible $\mathcal{H}\big(G_1 \times G_2\big)$-module. By hypothesis, π_1 is admissible, which implies the result. □

Proposition 7.3. *Let (π, S) be a finitely generated smooth representation of $G_1 \times G_2$.*

(1) *If $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \in \mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi)$, then $\pi_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{G_1}(\pi)$.*
(2) *If $\pi_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{G_1}(\pi)$, then there is $\pi_2 \in \mathcal{R}_{G_2}(\pi)$ such that $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \in \mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi)$.*

Proof. (1) Let $(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2, G_1 \times G_2, V_1 \otimes V_2) \in \mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi)$ which means that there is a surjective map $V \xrightarrow{f} V_1 \otimes V_2$. Take an element $0 \neq e_2 \in V_2$ and denote the canonical projection $V_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}e_2$ by p_{e_2}. Composing f with $1 \otimes p_{e_2}$ gives a non-trivial map from V to V_1, i.e. $\pi_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{G_1}(\pi)$.
(2) Suppose that $(\pi_1, V_1) \in \mathcal{R}_{G_1}(\pi)$. Thus the greatest π_1-isotypic quotient $S_\pi \simeq \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2'$ is non-trivial, which implies

that π_2' is also non-trivial. By Proposition 7.1 (3), π_2' is finitely generated and $\mathcal{R}_{G_2}(\pi_2') \neq 0$. By Lemma 6.3, there is a bijection between $\mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(S_{\pi_1})$ and $\mathcal{R}_{G_2}(\pi_2')$. So there is an irreducible representation (π_2, V_2) of G_2 such that $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \in \mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi)$. □

Now we consider the general case. Let (π, S) be a smooth representation of $G_1 \times G_2$. The result in Proposition 7.3 (1) also holds. So there are two canonical projections

$$p_i : \mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{G_i}(\pi); \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \longmapsto \pi_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

From now on, we will denote their images by $\mathcal{R}^0_{G_i}(\pi)$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Corollary 7.4. *If (π, S) is a finitely generated smooth representation of the group $G_1 \times G_2$, then the above maps p_1, p_2 both are surjective.*

When p_1(resp. p_2) is injective, there is a unique irreducible representation $\pi_2^{(1)} \in \mathcal{R}_{G_2}(\pi)$(resp. $\pi_1^{(2)} \in \mathcal{R}_{G_1}(\pi)$) such that $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2^{(1)} \in \mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi)$(resp. $\pi_1^{(2)} \otimes \pi_2 \in \mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi)$).

Definition 7.5. *If p_1(resp. p_2) is injective, the canonical map $\theta_1 : \mathcal{R}^0_{G_1}(\pi) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^0_{G_2}(\pi); \pi_1 \longmapsto \pi_2^{(1)}$ (resp. $\theta_2 : \mathcal{R}^0_{G_2}(\pi) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^0_{G_1}(\pi); \pi_2 \longmapsto \pi_1^{(2)}$) will be called the **theta map**. Namely $(\mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi), p_i)$ is the graph of the theta map θ_i for $i = 1, 2$ respectively. Further, if π is also multiplicity-free, i.e.*

$$m_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi, \Pi) \leq 1 \text{ for all } \Pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_1 \times G_2),$$

we will call π a left(resp. right) theta representation of $G_1 \times G_2$.

Definition 7.6. *If p_1 and p_2 both are injective, then the theta maps θ_1, θ_2 both are bijective and $\theta_1 = \theta_2^{-1}$. So we get a correspondence between $\mathcal{R}^0_{G_1}(\pi)$ and $\mathcal{R}^0_{G_2}(\pi)$, called the **Howe correspondence** or the **theta correspondence**. Further, if π is also multiplicity-free, then we will call π a **theta** representation of $G_1 \times G_2$.*

Let us finish this section by stating some simple properties for such representations.

Lemma 7.7. *Let $G_1, \cdots, G_{2n}$ be locally profinite groups, and (π, V) a smooth representation of $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_{2n}$. For each $i \in \{1, \cdots, 2n\}$, if the restriction $\pi|_{G_i\times G_{n+i}}$ is a theta representation, then so is the representation π of the group $(G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n) \times (G_{n+1} \times \cdots \times G_{2n})$.*

Proof. It suffices to verify that the multiplicity-free property holds for π. Let $(\pi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_{2n}, V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{2n}) \in \mathcal{R}_{G_1\times\cdots\times G_{2n}}(\pi)$ and take $0 \neq e_i \in V_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \cdots 2n\}$. Let us fix one i_0 in $\{1, \cdots, n\}$. By those elements e_i, $i \neq i_0$ and $i \neq n + i_0$, we can define a canonical projection $p_{i_0,n+i_0} : V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{2n} \longrightarrow V_{i_0} \otimes V_{n+i_0}$. If $f, g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1\times\cdots\times G_{2n}}(\pi, \pi_1 \otimes \cdots \pi_{2n})$, then the composite maps $p_{i_0,n+i_0} \circ f$ and $p_{i_0,n+i_0} \circ g$ belong to $\mathrm{Hom}_{G_{i_0}\times G_{n+i_0}}(\pi, \pi_{i_0} \otimes \pi_{n+i_0})$ so that $p_{i_0,n+i_0} \circ f$ is propositional to $p_{i_0,n+i_0} \circ g$, in other words, $p_{i_0,n+i_0} \circ f = c p_{i_0,n+i_0} \circ g$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. As we know, $f - cg \in \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1\times\cdots\times G_{2n}}(\pi, \pi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_{2n})$ is either zero or surjective. But $p_{i_0,n+i_0} \circ (f - cg) = 0$ shows that $f - cg = 0$. Therefore $m_{G_1\times\cdots\times G_{2n}}(\pi, \pi_1 \otimes \cdots \pi_{2n}) \leq 1$. □

Let G_1, G_2, H be locally profinite groups. Suppose now that H is an abelian group. Let γ be an automorphism of H, and π a smooth representation of $G_1 \times G_2 \times H$. Via the homomorphism $(G_1 \times H) \times (G_2 \times H) \longrightarrow G_1 \times G_2 \times H$, $[(g_1, h_1), (g_2, h_2)] \longmapsto (g_1 g_2, h_1 \gamma(h_2))$, we obtain a smooth representation of $(G_1 \times H) \times (G_2 \times H)$, denoted by $\widetilde{\pi}$.

Lemma 7.8. *If $\pi|_{G_1\times G_2}$ is a theta representation, so is $\widetilde{\pi}$.*

Proof. The multiplicity-free property for $\tilde{\pi}$ also holds. Suppose now $(\pi_1 \otimes \chi_1) \otimes (\pi_2 \otimes \chi_2) \in \mathcal{R}_{(G_1\times H)\times(G_2\times H)}(\widetilde{\pi})$, and let $0 \neq F \in \mathrm{Hom}_{(G_1\times H)\times(G_2\times H)}(\pi, (\pi_1 \otimes \chi_1) \otimes (\pi_2 \otimes \chi_2))$. By definition, we have

$$F(\pi((g_1 \otimes g_2), h_1\gamma(h_2))v) = \pi_1(g_1) \otimes \pi_2(g_2) F(v) \chi_1(h_1)\chi_2(h_2), \quad v \in V, g_i \in G_i, h_i \in H.$$

Substituting $g_1 = g_2 = 1$, $h_1 = h \in H$, $h_2 = \gamma^{-1}(h^{-1}) \in H$ shows that $F(v) = F(v)\chi_1(h)\chi_2\big(\gamma^{-1}(h^{-1})\big)$ for $v \in V$. As $F \neq 0$ and γ is an isomorphism, we get $\chi_2 = \chi_1^{\gamma^{-1}}$, where $\chi_1^{\gamma^{-1}}(h) := \chi_1\big(\gamma(h)\big)$, for $h \in H$. Write θ_π for the theta map of $\pi|_{G_1\times G_2}$. Then there is a bijection from $\mathcal{R}^0_{G_1\times H_1}(\widetilde{\pi})$ to $\mathcal{R}^0_{G_2\times H_2}(\widetilde{\pi})$, defined by $\pi_1 \otimes \chi_1 \longmapsto \theta_\pi(\pi_1)\otimes\chi_1^{\gamma^{-1}}$, and the result is clear. □

Remark 7.9. *If the above map $(G_1\times H)\times(G_2\times H) \longrightarrow G_1\times G_2\times H$ factors through $(G_1\times H)\times(G_2\times H) \longrightarrow G_1H\times G_2H$, for open surjective homomorphisms $G_i\times H \xrightarrow{p_i} G_iH$, then the result in Lemma 7.8 also hold for the analogous representation of $G_1H\times G_2H$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that each irreducible representation of G_iH can be identified with an irreducible representation of $G_i\times H$ trivially at $ker(p_i)$. □

8. The Clifford-Mackey theory I

In this section, we study Clifford-Mackey theory in our case. We will let G be a locally profinite group, and let H be an open normal subgroup of G such that G/H is an abelian group. Suppose that all irreducible representations of G and H are admissible.

Theorem 8.1 (Clifford-Mackey)**.** *Let $(\pi,V) \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$. Suppose $\mathcal{R}_H(\pi) \neq \emptyset$. Then:*

(1) $\mathrm{Res}^G_H\pi$ *is a semi-simple representation of finite-dimensional quotient.*
(2) *If $\sigma_1,\sigma_2 \in \mathcal{R}_H(\pi)$, then there is an element $g \in G$ such that $\sigma_2 \simeq \sigma_1^g$, where $\sigma_1^g(h) := \sigma_1(ghg^{-1})$ for $h \in H$.*
(3) *There is a positive integer m such that* $\mathrm{Res}^G_H\pi \simeq \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{R}_H(\pi)} m\sigma$.
(4) *Let (σ,W) be an irreducible constituent of* $\mathrm{Res}^G_H\pi$. *Then:*
   (a) $I^0_G(\sigma) = \{g \in G \mid g(W) = W\}$ *is an open normal subgroup of G. For two irreducible constituents (σ_1,W_1), (σ_2,W_2) of $(\mathrm{Res}^G_H\pi,V)$, we have $I^0_G(\sigma_1) = I^0_G(\sigma_2)$, denoted by $\widetilde{H}^0$. Moveover, σ is extendiable to $\widetilde{H}^0$.*
   (b) $I_G(\sigma) = \{g \in G \mid \sigma^g \simeq \sigma\}$ *is an open normal subgroup of G. For any $\sigma_1,\sigma_2 \in \mathcal{R}_H(\pi)$, we have $I_G(\sigma_1) = I_G(\sigma_2)$, denoted by $\widetilde{H}$.*
   (c) *The isotypical component $m\sigma$ of σ in* $\mathrm{Res}^G_H\pi$ *is an irreducible smooth representation of $\widetilde{H}$, denoted by $(\widetilde{\sigma},\widetilde{W})$.*
(5) $\mathrm{Res}^G_{\widetilde{H}}\pi = \oplus_{\widetilde{\sigma}\in\mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi)}\widetilde{\sigma}$ *with* $\widetilde{\sigma}|_H \simeq m\sigma$. *The action of $G/\widetilde{H}$ on the set $\mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi)$ is simply transitive.*
(6) $\pi \simeq \text{c-Ind}^G_{\widetilde{H}}\widetilde{\sigma}$ *for any* $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi)$.

Proof. 1) Let $(\sigma,W) \in \mathcal{R}_H(\pi)$, $\Omega = \{g_i \in G\}$ a coset representatives of G/H. By the contragredient duality, $(\check{\sigma},\check{W})$ is a subrepresentation of $(\mathrm{Res}^G_H\check{\pi},\check{V})$. Now the vector space $\check{V}' = \sum_{g_i\in\Omega}\check{\pi}(g_i)\check{W}$ equals to $\check{V}$. Thus $\mathrm{Res}^G_H\check{\pi}$ is semi-simple and admits an irreducible factor representation. It follows that (π,V) is semi-simple as well. Let K be an open compact subgroup of H such that the finite-dimensional vector space W^K is nonzero. By Frobenius Reciprocity, we have the relation of dimensions: $m_H(\pi,\sigma) \leq m_H(\pi,\mathrm{Ind}^H_K W^K) \leq m_K(\pi,W^K) < \infty$.
2) Every irreducible subrepresentation of $(\mathrm{Res}^G_H\pi,V)$ is isomorphic with $(\mathrm{Res}^G_H\pi,\pi(g_i)W)$ for some $g_i \in \Omega$, and $(\mathrm{Res}^G_H\pi,\pi(g_i)(W)) \simeq (\sigma^{g_i},W)$, so the part (2) is clear.
3) We let σ_1,σ_2 be two elements in $\mathcal{R}_H(\pi)$. Then there is an element $g \in G$ such that $\sigma_2 \simeq \sigma_1^g$, and $m_H(\pi,\sigma_1) = m_H(\pi^g,\sigma_1^g) = m_H(\pi,\sigma_2) = m$, for some positive integer m.
4) The group $I^0_G(\sigma)$ containing H is an open normal subgroup of G. For $(\sigma_1,W_1),(\sigma_2,W_2) \in \mathcal{R}_H(\pi)$, there exists $g \in G$ such that $W_1 = g(W_2)$. Then the map from $I^0_G(\sigma_1)$ to $I^0_G(\sigma_2)$, defined by $h \longrightarrow g^{-1}hg$, is bijective. It follows that the two normal subgroups $I^0_G(\sigma_1)$ and $I^0_G(\sigma_2)$ of G coincide. The similar proof works for (b). By observation, the σ-isotypical component $\widetilde{\sigma}(\simeq m\sigma)$ is an irreducible representation of $\widetilde{H}$.

5) Applying the result (1) to $\widetilde{H}$ shows that $\mathrm{Res}^G_{\widetilde{H}}\pi$ is semi-simple. This will yield a decomposition

$$\mathrm{Res}^G_{\widetilde{H}}\pi = \oplus_{\sigma\in\mathcal{R}_H(\pi)}\widetilde{\sigma},$$

where $\widetilde{\sigma}|_H \simeq m\sigma$. Namely, $\widetilde{\sigma_1} \not\simeq \widetilde{\sigma_2}$ if $\sigma_1 \not\simeq \sigma_2 \in \mathcal{R}_H(\pi)$. For $\widetilde{\sigma_1},\widetilde{\sigma_2} \in \mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi)$, we can find $g\in G$ such that $\widetilde{\sigma_1} \simeq \widetilde{\sigma_2}^g$. On the other hand, if $\widetilde{\sigma} \simeq \widetilde{\sigma}^g$, a priori $\sigma \simeq \sigma^g$ so that $g \in \widetilde{H}$. In this way we verify that the action of $G/\widetilde{H}$ on $\mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi)$ is simply transitive.

6) Let $\Lambda = \{g_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a set of representatives for $G/\widetilde{H}$. Then $\widetilde{W} = \sum_{g_i\in\Lambda}\pi(g_i)\widetilde{W}$ is G-invariant, and $W = \widetilde{W}$. By Frobenius Reciprocity, we have $\alpha : \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{H}}(\widetilde{\sigma},\pi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_G(\text{c-Ind}^G_{\widetilde{H}}\widetilde{\sigma},\pi)$, which is of dimension 1. By the explicit construction in [BZ, Page 24], the map $\alpha(\mathrm{Id}_{\widetilde{\sigma}})$ shall give a G-isomorphism from $\text{c-Ind}^G_{\widetilde{H}}(\widetilde{\sigma})$ to π. □

Corollary 8.2. *Notations being as above, suppose now that $H_1 \supseteq H$ is a closed subgroup of $\widetilde{H}$. Then $\mathcal{R}_{H_1}(\pi)\neq\emptyset$ and $\mathrm{Res}^G_{H_1}\pi$ is semi-simple as well.*

Proof. Let (σ,W) be an irreducible constituent of $(\mathrm{Res}^G_H\pi,V)$. The action of H_1 on W produces a finitely generated representation of H_1, denoted by (σ_1,W_1). This representation admits an exact sequence of $\mathcal{H}(H_1)$-modules $1\longrightarrow U_1\longrightarrow W_1\longrightarrow U\longrightarrow 1$, for an irreducible factor representation (ρ,U) of H_1 and a subrepresentation (ρ_1,U_1) of H_1. As we know, $\mathrm{Res}^{H_1}_H\sigma_1$ ($\subseteq m\sigma$) is semi-simple. It follows that $\mathrm{Res}^{H_1}_H\rho_1 \simeq m_1\sigma$ for some $m_1<m$. Note that U_1 is also a finitely generated $\mathcal{H}(H_1)$-module. By induction on m, finally we can find an irreducible subrepresentation of (σ_1,W_1) or $(\mathrm{Res}^G_H\pi,V)$. The process of the proving Theorem 8.1 (1) shall give the result. □

Corollary 8.3. *Under the conditions of Theorem 8.1, there exists a normal subgroup H_m of G such that*

(1) *$H\subseteq H_m\subseteq\widetilde{H}$,*
(2) *H_m/H is finitely generated,*
(3) *$\mathrm{Res}^G_{H_m}\pi$ is multiplicity-free.*

Proof. Suppose $\widetilde{\sigma}|_H = \pi(g_1)(W)\oplus\cdots\oplus\pi(g_m)(W)$ for some $g_1,\cdots,g_m\in G$. We let H_m be the subgroup of G generated by H and those $g_1,g_2,\cdots,g_m$. Clearly H_m/H is finitely generated. By definition, $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is an irreducible $\mathbb{C}[H_m]$-module, which forces $\mathrm{Res}^G_{H_m}\pi$ to be multiplicity-free. □

Proposition 8.4. *For (π_1,V_1), $(\pi_2,V_2)\in\mathrm{Irr}(G)$, we have:*

(1) *$\mathcal{R}_H(\pi_1)\cap\mathcal{R}_H(\pi_2)\neq\emptyset$ only if $\mathcal{R}_H(\pi_1)=\mathcal{R}_H(\pi_2)\neq\emptyset$.*
(2) *If $\mathcal{R}_H(\pi_1)=\mathcal{R}_H(\pi_2)\neq\emptyset$, then $\pi_1\simeq\pi_2\otimes\chi_{G/H}$ for some character $\chi_{G/H}$ of G/H.*

Proof. 1) By symmetry, we only check one-side inclusion. Let $(\sigma,W)\in\mathcal{R}_H(\mathrm{Res}^G_H\pi_1)\cap\mathcal{R}_H(\mathrm{Res}^G_H\pi_2)$. For $\sigma'\in\mathcal{R}_H(\pi_1)$, by Theorem 8.1 there exists $g\in G$ such that $\sigma^g\simeq\sigma'$. Hence $m_H(\pi_2,\sigma') = m_H(\pi_2,\sigma^g) = m_H(\pi_2^g,\sigma^g) = m_H(\pi_2,\sigma)$; this implies that $\sigma'\in\mathcal{R}_H(\pi_2)$, so $\mathcal{R}_H(\pi_1)\subseteq\mathcal{R}_H(\pi_2)$.

2) For simplicity, we identity (σ,W) as an irreducible constituent of $(\mathrm{Res}^G_H\pi_1,V_1)$ as well as $(\mathrm{Res}^G_H\pi_2,V_2)$. Let $\widetilde{H}$ be the open normal subgroup of G defined as in Theorem 8.1(4) for the above σ. Let $(\widetilde{\sigma_1},\widetilde{V_1})$ and $(\widetilde{\sigma_2},\widetilde{V_2})$ be the σ-isotrypical components of $\mathrm{Res}^G_{\widetilde{H}}\pi_1$ and of $\mathrm{Res}^G_{\widetilde{H}}\pi_2$ respectively. On $\mathrm{Hom}_H(\widetilde{\sigma_1},\widetilde{\sigma_2})$, we impose a natural $\widetilde{H}/H$-action defined as follows: $\varphi^{\overline{g}}(v_1) := \widetilde{\sigma_2}(g)\varphi(\widetilde{\sigma_1}(g^{-1})v_1)$, for $\varphi\in\mathrm{Hom}_H(\widetilde{\sigma_1},\widetilde{\sigma_2})$, $\overline{g}\in\widetilde{H}/H$, $v_1\in\widetilde{V_1}$. Here, $g\in\widetilde{H}$ is a representative of $\overline{g}$. By Theorem 8.1, we have $\mathrm{Res}^{\widetilde{H}}_H\widetilde{\sigma_1} = \oplus_{i=1}^{m_1}\pi_1(g_i)W$, for some suitable $g_1=1,g_2,\cdots,g_{m_1}$ in $\widetilde{H}$, so that we can construct an element $f\in\mathrm{Hom}_H(\widetilde{\sigma_1},\widetilde{\sigma_2})$ by $f|_{\pi_1(g_i)(W)}(\pi_1(g_i)w) = \pi_2(g_i)w$, for $w\in W$. Write $\mathcal{F} = \{\sum_i c_i f^{\overline{g_i}} | \overline{g_i}\in\widetilde{H}/H, c_i\in\mathbb{C}\}$, a $\mathcal{H}\big(\widetilde{H}/H\big)$-module of finite dimension. Let us show that $\mathcal{F}$ is actually a *smooth* representation of $\widetilde{H}/H$. Fix $0\neq w_0\in W$ and let $K = \cap_{i=1}^{m_1}\mathrm{Stab}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi_1(g_i)w_0)\cap\mathrm{Stab}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi_2(g_i)w_0)$. For $k\in K$ we denote its image in $\widetilde{H}/H$ by $\overline{k}$. Then for $w=\sum_{i=1}^n c_i\pi_1(h_i)w_0\in W$, we have

$$f^{\overline{k}}(\pi_1(g_i)w) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i\pi_2(g_ih_ig_i^{-1})f^{\overline{k}}\Big(\pi_1(g_i)w_0\Big) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i\pi_2(g_ih_ig_i^{-1})f\Big(\pi_1(g_i)w_0\Big) = f(\pi_1(g_i)w).$$

Hence $\mathrm{Stab}_{\widetilde{H}/H}(f) \supseteq K$ is an open subgroup of $\widetilde{H}/H$. Similarly, $\mathrm{Stab}_{\widetilde{H}/H}(f^{\overline{g}}) \supseteq g^{-1}K$ is also open for $\overline{g} \in \widetilde{H}/H$. So $\mathcal{F}$ is smooth and contains a subrepresentation $(\chi_{\widetilde{H}/H}, U)$ of $\widetilde{H}/H$. Any nonzero element $F \in U$ lies inside $\mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{H}}(\chi_{\widetilde{H}/H} \otimes \widetilde{\sigma_1}, \widetilde{\sigma_2})$, so we conclude that $\widetilde{\sigma_2} \simeq \widetilde{\sigma_1} \otimes \chi_{\widetilde{H}/H}$. Now the character $\chi_{\widetilde{H}/H} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\widetilde{H}/H, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ can extend to a continuous homomorphism $\chi_{G/H}$ from G/H to $\mathbb{C}^\times$, since $\mathbb{C}^\times$ is a divisible group and $\widetilde{H}$ is open. By replacing π_1 with $\pi_1 \otimes \chi_{G/H}$, we may assume $\mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi_1) \cap \mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi_2) \neq \emptyset$ and the above $\chi_{\widetilde{H}/H}$ is trivial. The result then follows from Theorem 8.1 (6). □

Proposition 8.5. *Let (π, V) be a smooth representation of G of finite-dimensional quotient. Let $(\pi_1, V_1) \in \mathcal{R}_G(\pi)$ such that $\mathcal{R}_H(\pi_1) \neq \emptyset$. Then:*

(1) $\mathcal{R}_H(\pi_1) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_H(\pi)$.
(2) $m_H(\pi, \sigma_1) = m_H(\pi, \sigma_2)$ *for* $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathcal{R}_H(\pi_1)$.
(3) *If* $m_H(\pi, \sigma) \leq 1$ *for all* $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}_H(\pi_1)$, *then* $m_G(\pi, \pi_1) \leq 1$.

Proof. (1) is obvious and (2) follows from Theorem 8.1 (2). For (3) we take the subgroup $\widetilde{H}$ of G for the representation π_1 as defined in Theorem 8.1(4). Then $\mathrm{Res}^G_{\widetilde{H}} \pi_1 = \oplus_{\widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi_1)} \widetilde{\sigma}$, where $\widetilde{\sigma}|_H = m\sigma$ for some $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}_H(\pi_1)$. We first show that $m_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi_1, \widetilde{\sigma}) \leq 1$. If $f, g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi, \widetilde{\sigma})$, and $0 \neq p \in \mathrm{Hom}_H(\widetilde{\sigma}, \sigma)$, then $p \circ f,\ p \circ g \in \mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi, \sigma)$. This means $p \circ f$ is proportional to $p \circ g$; in other words, $p \circ g = cp \circ f$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. The map $g - cf \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi, \widetilde{\sigma})$ is either surjective or zero; as $p \circ (g - cf) = 0$, it has to be zero. Hence $m_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi, \widetilde{\sigma}) \leq 1$. As before, the set $\mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi, \pi_1)$ is a $G/\widetilde{H}$-module. By the decomposition of $\mathrm{Res}^G_{\widetilde{H}} \pi_1$, we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi, \pi_1) \hookrightarrow \prod_{\widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi_1)} \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi, \widetilde{\sigma})$. We denote the canonical map from $\mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi, \pi_1)$ to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi, \widetilde{\sigma})$ by $p_{\widetilde{\sigma}}$. Each $F \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi, \pi_1)$ is determined uniquely by the family $\{p_{\widetilde{\sigma}} \circ F\}_{\widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi_1)}$ and $G/\widetilde{H}$ acts transitively on $\{p_{\widetilde{\sigma}} \circ F\}_{\widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi_1)}$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\pi, \pi_1) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi, \pi_1)^{G/\widetilde{H}}$, finally $\dim \mathrm{Hom}_G(\pi, \pi_1) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi, \pi_1)^{G/\widetilde{H}} = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{H}}(\pi, \widetilde{\sigma}) \leq 1$ as required. □

8.1.

Lemma 8.6. *Under the situation of Theorem 8.1, if G/H is a cyclic group, then $\mathrm{Res}^G_H \pi$ is multiplicity-free.*

Proof. Observe firstly that H is an open normal subgroup of G. Recall $\widetilde{H} = \{g \in G \mid \sigma^g \simeq \sigma\}$. By hypothesis, the subgroup $\widetilde{H}/H$ is also cyclic generated by one element $\overline{s}$ with a representative s in $\widetilde{H}$. Since $\sigma^s \simeq \sigma$, there exists a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $A : W \longrightarrow W$ such that $\sigma^s(h)A = A\sigma(h)$ for all $h \in G_\sigma$. As a consequence, we obtain a well-defined homomorphism $\widetilde{\sigma}' : G_\sigma \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(W); s^i h \longmapsto A^i \sigma(h)$. In fact, $\widetilde{\sigma}'$ is an irreducible smooth representation of $\widetilde{H}$ because H is open. Consequently $\widetilde{\sigma'}|_H \simeq \sigma$. By Proposition 8.4, we get $\widetilde{\sigma} \simeq \widetilde{\sigma}' \otimes \chi_{\widetilde{H}/H}$ for a character $\chi_{\widetilde{H}/H}$ of $\widetilde{H}/H$, so it forces $m = 1$. □

Lemma 8.7. *Under the situation of Theorem 8.1, there exists a tower of normal subgroups of G: $H = H_0 \lhd H_1 \lhd \cdots \lhd H_m \lhd H_{m+1} = G$, such that*

(1) *H_{i+1}/H_i is a cyclic group for $i = 0, \cdots, m-1$,*
(2) *$\mathcal{R}_{H_i}(\pi) \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 0, \cdots, m$,*
(2) *for each i and $\sigma_{i+1} \in \mathcal{R}_{H_{i+1}}(\pi)$, $\mathrm{Res}^{H_{i+1}}_{H_i} \sigma_{i+1}$ is multiplicity-free.*

Proof. We can take H_m to be the group defined in Corollary 8.3; by part (2) there, H_m/H_0 is an abelian group generated by m elements, so it is isomorphic to a direct sum of cyclic groups $F_1 \oplus F_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus F_m$. By Lemma 8.6, we only need to let H_i be the inverse image of $F_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus F_i$ in G. Then those H_i satisfy the desired conditions. □

9. The Clifford-Mackey theory II

In this section, we study Clifford-Mackey theory in another case. We will let G be a locally profinite group, and let H be a closed normal subgroup of G such that G/H is compact. Suppose that all smooth irreducible representations of

G and H are admissible. We fix one $(\pi,V)\in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$. By Proposition 4.2, the restriction $\mathrm{Res}^G_H\pi$ is finitely generated, having a set $\{e_1,\cdots,e_n\}$ of generators.

Lemma 9.1. *$\mathcal{R}_H(\pi)\neq\emptyset$, and $m_H(\pi,\sigma)<\infty$, for $(\sigma,W)\in\mathcal{R}_H(\pi)$.*

Proof. See Proposition 4.4. □

We fix one $(\sigma,W)\in\mathcal{R}_H(\pi)$. Let V_σ be the greatest σ-isotypic quotient of π. So there is a short exact sequence of $\mathcal{H}(H)$-modules:

$$0\longrightarrow V[\sigma]\longrightarrow V\longrightarrow V_\sigma\longrightarrow 0,$$

By Lemma 4.5, for simplicity, we identity (σ,W) as a constituent of V_σ. Now we define

$$I'_G(\sigma)=\{g\in G\mid \pi(g)(V[\sigma])=V[\sigma]\}$$

and

$$I_G(\sigma)=\{g\in G\mid \sigma^g\simeq\sigma\}.$$

Lemma 9.2. *$I'_G(\sigma)=I_G(\sigma)$, and it is an open subgroup of G.*

Proof. 1) Every element $g\in I'_G(\sigma)$ will introduce a bijective map

$$F_g: V_\sigma\longrightarrow V_\sigma;\overline{v}\longmapsto\overline{gv},$$

satisfying $F_g(\sigma(h)\overline{v})=\sigma^g(h)F_g(\overline{v})$, for $\overline{v}\in V_\sigma$, $h\in H$. In particular, it defines an intertwining operator between (σ,W) and $(\sigma^g,F_g(W))$. Note that $(\sigma^g,F_g(W))\simeq(\sigma^g,W)$ in V_σ by Lemma 4.5, which means that $g\in I_G(\sigma)$.
2) If $g\in I_G(\sigma)$, then $V_\sigma=V_{\sigma^g}$. Recall $V[\sigma^g]=\cap_{f\in\mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi,\sigma^g)}\ker f=\pi(g)\Big(\cap_{f\in\mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi^g,\sigma^g)}\ker f\Big)=\pi(g)(V[\sigma])$, so $V[\sigma]=\pi(g)(V[\sigma])$.
3) Suppose $W^{K\cap H}\neq 0$, for an open compact subgroup K of G. Then $g(K\cap H)g^{-1}=K\cap H$, for $g\in K$, and it follows that $(\sigma^g)^{K\cap H}=\sigma^{K\cap H}$, and the element $\delta_g: W^{K\cap H}\longrightarrow W^{K\cap H}$ defines an intertwining operator between $(\sigma,\mathcal{H}(H,K\cap H),W^{K\cap H})$ and $(\sigma^g,\mathcal{H}(H,K\cap H),W^{K\cap H})$. This shows that $I_G(\sigma)$ containing K is open. □

Lemma 9.3. *The image of the mapping $\iota:(V_\sigma|_H)^\vee\longrightarrow(\mathrm{Res}^G_H V)^\vee$ lies in $\mathrm{Res}^G_H(\check{V})$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 2.5, 4.5. □

Corollary 9.4. *$(\mathrm{Res}^G_H\check{\pi},\check{V})$ contains at least an irreducible subrepresentation of H.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Lemmas 4.5, 9.3. □

Lemma 9.5. (1) *$\mathrm{Res}^G_H\pi$ is a semi-simple representation of finite quotient.*
(2) *If $\sigma_1,\sigma_2\in\mathcal{R}_H(\pi)$, then there is an element $g\in G$ such that $\sigma_2\simeq\sigma_1^g$, where $\sigma_1^g(h):=\sigma_1(ghg^{-1})$ for $h\in H$.*
(3) *There is a positive integer m such that $\mathrm{Res}^G_H\pi\simeq\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{R}_H(\pi)}m\sigma$.*

Proof. The proof is similar as that of Theorem 8.1. □

In view of the above discussion, the greatest σ-isotypic quotient space V_σ is indeed equal to the isotypical components $\widetilde{W}$ of σ in $\mathrm{Res}^G_H\pi$, so that we obtain an irreducible smooth representation $(\widetilde{\sigma},\widetilde{W})$ of $I_G(\sigma)$. Now we define a subgroup of $I_G(\sigma)$ by $I^0_G(\sigma)=\{g\in G\mid\pi(g)(W)=W\}$.

Lemma 9.6. *Above $I^0_G(\sigma)$ is an open subgroup of G. Moveover, (σ,W) is extendiable to $I^0_G(\sigma)$.*

Proof. Let $0\neq w_0\in W$ and $K_{w_0}=\mathrm{Stab}_G(w_0)$. For $g\in K_{w_0},h\in H$, we have $\pi(g)\sigma(h)w_0=\sigma(ghg^{-1})\pi(g)w_0=\sigma(ghg^{-1})w_0$; this means that g stabilizes W, so $I^0_G(\sigma)$ containing K_{w_0} is an open subgroup of G. □

Lemma 9.7. *$\mathrm{Res}^{I_G(\sigma)}_{I^0_G(\sigma)}\widetilde{\sigma}$ is a smooth representation of finite length.*

Proof. First of all, $\mathrm{Res}^{I_G(\sigma)}_{I^0_G(\sigma)}\widetilde{\sigma}$ is finitely generated, so it admits a short exact sequence of $\mathcal{H}\big(I^0_G(\sigma)\big)$-modules: $0\longrightarrow \widetilde{W_1}\longrightarrow \widetilde{W}\longrightarrow W'\longrightarrow 1$, such that $(I^0_G(\sigma),W')\in\mathcal{R}_{I^0_G(\sigma)}(\widetilde{\sigma})$. Since $\mathrm{Res}^{I_G(\sigma)}_{H}\widetilde{\sigma}\simeq m\sigma$, we have $\mathrm{Res}^{I^0_G(\sigma)}_{H}\widetilde{W_1}\simeq m_1\sigma$, for some $m_1<m$. By induction on the integer m, the result then follows. □

Proposition 9.8. *For the fixed (σ,W) in $\mathcal{R}_H(\pi)$, we have $\pi\simeq \text{c-Ind}^G_{I_G(\sigma)}\widetilde{\sigma}$.*

Proof. See the proof of Theorem 8.1(6). □

Remark 9.9. *We shall pay attention to the fact that $I_G(\sigma)$, $I^0_G(\sigma)$ ($\subseteq G$) both are open, and not normal in general.*

Lemma 9.10. *There is an open normal subgroup $J_G(\sigma)$ of $I_G(\sigma)$ such that $H\subseteq J_G(\sigma)\subseteq I^0_G(\sigma)$.*

Proof. Notice that $I^0_G(\sigma)/H$ is also a locally profinite group, so it contains at least an open compact subgroup, for the present denoted by $\overline{K}$. We let $\overline{K_0}=\cap_{\overline{g}\in I_G(\sigma)/H}\overline{g}\overline{K}\overline{g}^{-1}$. By Lemma 2.9 (2), $\overline{K_0}$ is an open normal subgroup of $I_G(\sigma)/H$, and we denote its inverse image in $I_G(\sigma)$ or $I^0_G(\sigma)$ by $J_G(\sigma)$. □

9.1. In the following, we shall rewrite some results of §11 in [CR] to our situation. We write $\pi_\sigma=\text{c-Ind}^{I_G(\sigma)}_{J_G(\sigma)}W$. Let $\Delta=\{g\in I_G(\sigma)\}$ be a set of representatives for $I_G(\sigma)/J_G(\sigma)$.

Lemma 9.11 ([BH, Page 19, Lemma])**.** (1) *There is a $J_G(\sigma)$-monomorphism $W\longrightarrow \text{c-Ind}^{I_G(\sigma)}_{J_G(\sigma)}W; w\longmapsto f_w$ with the image, denoted by $\mathcal{W}$, where $f_w(1)=w$, and* supp $f_w\subseteq J_G(\sigma)$.

(2) $\mathrm{Res}^{I_G(\sigma)}_{J_G(\sigma)}\pi_\sigma\simeq\oplus_{g\in\Delta}\pi_\sigma(g)\mathcal{W}$.

Following [CR, §11], we let $D=\mathrm{End}_{I_G(\sigma)}(\pi_\sigma)$. Notice:

(1) $(\pi_\sigma|_{J_G(\sigma)},\pi_\sigma(g)\mathcal{W})$ is an irreducible representation of $J_G(\sigma)$, isomorphic to $(\pi_\sigma|_{J_G(\sigma)},\mathcal{W})$, for $g\in\Delta$.
(2) Let $\varepsilon_g:\mathcal{W}\longrightarrow\pi_\sigma(g)\mathcal{W}$ be an intertwining operator between $(\pi_\sigma|_{J_G(\sigma)},\mathcal{W})$ and $(\pi_\sigma|_{J_G(\sigma)},\pi_\sigma(g)\mathcal{W})$.
(3) ε_g can extend uniquely to an element $\mathcal{E}_g$ in D, given by $\mathcal{E}_g(\pi_\sigma(x)f_w):=\pi_\sigma(x)\varepsilon_g(f_w)$ for $x\in\Delta$, $f_w\in\mathcal{W}$.
(4) $\mathcal{E}_{g_1}\circ\mathcal{E}_{g_2}=\alpha(g_1,g_2)\mathcal{E}_{g_3}$, for $g_i\in\Delta$[1], where $\alpha(g_1,g_2)\in\mathbb{C}^\times$ and $g_1g_2J_G(\sigma)=g_3J_G(\sigma)$.
(5) The above $\alpha(-,-)$ defines a 2-cocycle in $\mathrm{H}^2(I_G(\sigma)/J_G(\sigma),\mathbb{C}^\times)$.

We fix an embedding $\widetilde{W}\longrightarrow\mathrm{Ind}^{I_G(\sigma)}_{J_G(\sigma)}W$, and let $\mathcal{N}=\{\,\varphi:\text{c-Ind}^{I_G(\sigma)}_{J_G(\sigma)}W\longrightarrow\mathrm{Ind}^{I_G(\sigma)}_{J_G(\sigma)}W$, a $I_G(\sigma)$-homomorphism with the image in $\widetilde{W}\}$ be a right D-ideal. Following [CR, §11], we define two projective smooth representations $(\rho_1,\mathcal{N})$, $(\rho_2,\mathcal{W})$ of $I_G(\sigma)$ as follows:

(1) ρ_1 factors through $I_G(\sigma)/J_G(\sigma)$, and $\rho_1(g)\varphi:=\varphi\circ\mathcal{E}_g$, for $g\in\Delta$, $\varphi\in\mathcal{N}$.
(2) For $x=gg_0\in I_G(\sigma)$ with $g\in\Delta$, and $g_0\in J_G(\sigma)$, $f_w\in\mathcal{W}$, $\rho_2(x)f_w:=\mathcal{E}_g^{-1}\circ\pi_\sigma(x)f_w$.

Theorem 9.12 (Clifford)**.** *The irreducible representation $(\widetilde{\sigma},\widetilde{W})$ of $I_G(\sigma)$ is isomorphic with the tensor projective representations $\rho_1\otimes\rho_2$ of $I_G(\sigma)$.*

Proof. By observation, $\rho_1\otimes\rho_2$ is a real representation of $I_G(\sigma)$. Let $F:\mathcal{N}\otimes\mathcal{W}\longrightarrow\widetilde{W};\varphi\otimes f_w\longmapsto\varphi(f_w)$. Firstly, if $\varphi\otimes f_w\neq 0$, and $\varphi(f_w)=0$, then $\varphi(\pi_\sigma(g)f_w)=0$ for all $g\in I_G(\sigma)$, contradicting to Lemma 9.11(2). So the injectivity of F follows. Secondly, letting $x=gg_0$ with $g\in\Delta$, $g_0\in J_G(\sigma)$, we then have

$$F\big(\rho_1\otimes\rho_2(x)(\varphi\otimes f_w)\big)=\varphi(\pi_\sigma(x)f_w)=\pi_\sigma(x)\varphi(f_w)=\pi_\sigma(x)F(\varphi\otimes f_w),$$

which shows that F is a $I_\sigma(\sigma)$-homomorphism and then the surjectivity follows. □

Remark 9.13. *$(\rho_1,\mathcal{N})$ is an irreducible projective representation of $I_G(\sigma)$.*

Proof. By construction, the space $\mathcal{N}$ is spanned by $\varphi\circ\mathcal{E}_{g_1},\varphi\circ\mathcal{E}_{g_2},\cdots,\varphi\circ\mathcal{E}_{g_m}$, for any non zero element $\varphi\in\mathcal{N}$, and some suitable $g_1,\cdots,g_m\in\Delta$. □

[1] Δ is a discrete set of finite cardinality.

10. THE THETA REPRESENTATION I

In this section, let G_1, G_2 be locally profinite groups with open normal subgroups H_1 and H_2 respectively such that G_1/H_1, G_2/H_2 are ablian groups, and isomorphic. Suppose that $\mathcal{R}_{H_i}(\pi_i) \neq \emptyset$, for any $\pi_i \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_i)$, and $i = 1,2$.

Let Γ be a normal subgroup of $G_1 \times G_2$ containing $H_1 \times H_2$ such that $\Gamma/H_1 \times H_2$ is the graph of a group isomorphism $\gamma : G_1/H_1 \longrightarrow G_2/H_2$. Let (ρ, V) a smooth representation of Γ such that $\mathrm{Res}^{\Gamma}_{H_1\times H_2}\rho$ is a theta representation of $H_1 \times H_2$. Our main theorem of this section is the following:

Theorem 10.1. *$\pi = \text{c-Ind}^{G_1\times G_2}_{\Gamma}\rho$ is a theta representation of $G_1 \times G_2$.*

Lemma 10.2. *In above Theorem, if $(\pi_1, V_1) \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_1)$ and $(\pi_2, V_2) \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_2)$, such that $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \in \mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi)$, then:*

1. *For any $\sigma_\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_{H_1}(\pi_1)$, there exists a unique element $\delta_\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_{H_2}(\pi_2)$ such that $\sigma_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho)$.*
2. *Let $\widetilde{H_1} = \{g_1 \in G_1 \mid \sigma_\alpha^{g_1} \simeq \sigma_\alpha\}$ and $\widetilde{H_2} = \{g_2 \in G_2 \mid \delta_\alpha^{g_2} \simeq \delta_\alpha\}$. Then γ induces a bijective map from $\widetilde{H_1}/H_1$ to $\widetilde{H_2}/H_2$ with the graph $\Gamma \cap (\widetilde{H_1} \times \widetilde{H_2})/H_1 \times H_2$, and a bijective map from $G_1/\widetilde{H_1}$ to $G_2/\widetilde{H_2}$ with the graph $\Gamma(\widetilde{H_1} \times \widetilde{H_2})/\widetilde{H_1} \times \widetilde{H_2}$.*

Proof. 1) By Frobenius Reciprocity, as is easy to see that $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma(\rho) \cap \mathcal{R}_\Gamma(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2) \neq \emptyset$. A priori, we can find $\sigma_1 \otimes \delta_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho) \cap \mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)$. By Theorem 8.1, there is $t_\alpha H_1 \in G_1/H_1$ such that $\sigma_1^{t_\alpha} \simeq \sigma_\alpha$. Let $\gamma(t_\alpha H_1) = s_\alpha H_2 \in G_2/H_2$ with $(t_\alpha, s_\alpha) \in \Gamma$. Then $\sigma_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \simeq \sigma_1^{t_\alpha} \otimes \delta_1^{s_\alpha} \in \mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho^{(t_\alpha, s_\alpha)}) = \mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho)$.

2) Assume $g_1H_1 \in G_1/H_1$, and let $\gamma(g_1H_1) = g_2H_2 \in G_2/H_2$. We then have $\sigma_\alpha^{g_1} \otimes \delta_\alpha^{g_2} \in \mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho)$, which implies that $\sigma_\alpha^{g_1} \simeq \sigma_\alpha$ iff $\delta_\alpha^{g_2} \simeq \delta_\alpha$, in other words, $g_1 \in \widetilde{H_1}$ iff $g_2 \in \widetilde{H_2}$. This means that γ maps $\widetilde{H_1}/H_1$ onto $\widetilde{H_2}/H_2$ with the graph $\Gamma \cap (\widetilde{H_1} \times \widetilde{H_2})/H_1 \times H_2$ and induces a bijective map $\overline{\gamma}$ from $G_1/\widetilde{H_1}$ to $G_2/\widetilde{H_2}$ with the graph $\Gamma(\widetilde{H_1} \times \widetilde{H_2})/\widetilde{H_1} \times \widetilde{H_2}$. □

The proof of Theorem 10.1:

Step I: Suppose that $\mathrm{Res}^{G_i}_{H_i}\Pi_i$ is multiplicity-free for $\Pi_i \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_i)$ as i runs through $1,2$. Suppose $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \in \mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi)$. By virtue of Frobenius Reciprocity, we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi, \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(\rho, \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho, \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)^{\Gamma/H_1\times H_2}$ for the canonical action of $\Gamma/H_1 \times H_2$ on $\mathrm{Hom}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho, \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)$. Now $\mathrm{Hom}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho, \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2) \hookrightarrow \prod_{i,j}\mathrm{Hom}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho, \sigma_i \otimes \delta_j) = \prod_{\sigma_\alpha\otimes\delta_\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho)}\mathrm{Hom}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho, \sigma_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha)$; every component of the last term is of dimension one, and Γ permutes transitively the components. Hence $1 \leq m_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi, \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2) \leq m_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho, \sigma_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) = 1$ as required.

Suppose $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2', \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \in \mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi)$. Let $(\sigma_1, \delta_1) \in \mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho) \cap \mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)$ and $(\sigma_2, \delta_2) \in \mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho) \cap \mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2')$. Then there exists an element $g_1 \in G_1$, such that $\sigma_2 \simeq \sigma_1^{g_1}$. Write $\gamma(g_1H_1) = g_2H_2 \in G_2/H_2$. As is easy to see that $\sigma_1^{g_1} \otimes \delta_1^{g_2} \simeq \sigma_2 \otimes \delta_1^{g_2} \in \mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho)$. By the property of graph, we get $\delta_2 \simeq \delta_1^{g_2}$. Hence $\mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2) \cap \mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2') \cap \mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho) \neq \emptyset$, and $\mathcal{R}_{H_2}(\pi_2) \cap \mathcal{R}_{H_2}(\pi_2') \neq \emptyset$.

Let $\sigma \otimes \delta \in \mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho) \cap \mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2) \cap \mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2')$, $\widetilde{H_1} = \{g_1 \in G_1 \mid \sigma^{g_1} \simeq \sigma\}$, $\widetilde{H_2} = \{g_2 \in G_2 \mid \delta^{g_2} \simeq \delta\}$. Note that the situation is the same for the pair (π_1, π_2) and the pair (π_1, π_2'), since $\widetilde{H_2}/H_2$ is the image of $\widetilde{H_1}/H_1$, under γ. Let $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{H_1}}(\pi_1)$, $\widetilde{\delta} \in \mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{H_1}}(\pi_2)$, $\widetilde{\delta}' \in \mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{H_1}}(\pi_2')$, such that $\widetilde{\sigma}|_{H_1} \simeq \sigma$, $\widetilde{\delta}|_{H_2} \simeq \delta \simeq \widetilde{\delta}'|_{H_2}$. By Clifford-Mackey theory, $\widetilde{\delta}' \simeq \widetilde{\delta} \otimes \nu$ for some character ν of $\widetilde{H_2}/H_2$.

According to Lemma 10.2 (2), γ induces a bijective map from $\widetilde{H_1}/H_1$ to $\widetilde{H_2}/H_2$ with the graph $\Gamma \cap (\widetilde{H_1} \times \widetilde{H_2})/H_1 \times H_2$. Now let us denote by $\widetilde{\rho} := \text{c-Ind}^{\widetilde{H_1}\times\widetilde{H_2}}_{\Gamma\cap(\widetilde{H_1}\times\widetilde{H_2})}\rho$. For $\widetilde{\rho}$, applied the above result, we have $m_{\Gamma\cap(\widetilde{H_1}\times\widetilde{H_2})}(\widetilde{\rho}, \widetilde{\sigma} \otimes \widetilde{\delta}) = m_{\Gamma\cap(\widetilde{H_1}\times\widetilde{H_2})}(\widetilde{\rho}, \widetilde{\sigma} \otimes \widetilde{\delta}') = m_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho, \sigma \otimes \delta) = 1$. For simplicity, we assume that $\widetilde{\sigma}|_{H_1} = \sigma$, $\widetilde{\sigma}|_{H_2} = \delta = \widetilde{\delta}'|_{H_2}$. A non-trivial element $T \in \mathrm{Hom}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho, \sigma \otimes \delta)$ can extend uniquely to $\widetilde{T} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma\cap\widetilde{H_1}\times\widetilde{H_2}}(\rho, \widetilde{\sigma} \otimes \widetilde{\delta})$ and to $\widetilde{T}' \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma\cap(\widetilde{H_1}\times\widetilde{H_2})}(\rho, \widetilde{\sigma} \otimes \widetilde{\delta}')$. We may and do suppose $T = \widetilde{T} = \widetilde{T}'$. Let $(g,h) \in \Gamma \cap (\widetilde{H_1} \times \widetilde{H_2})$, $v \in V$. Then

$$\widetilde{T}(\rho(g,h)v) = T(\rho(g,h)v) = \widetilde{\sigma} \otimes \widetilde{\delta}(g,h)T(v)$$

and

$$\widetilde{T}'(\rho(g,h)v) = T(\rho(g,h)v) = \widetilde{\sigma}\otimes\widetilde{\delta}'(g,h)T(v) = \widetilde{\sigma}\otimes\widetilde{\delta}(g,h)T(v)\nu(h).$$

It turns out that $\nu(h)=1$. As the map $\gamma:(\widetilde{H_1}\times\widetilde{H_2})\cap\Gamma/H_1\times H_2\longrightarrow\widetilde{H_2}/H_2$ is surjective, it is clear that $\nu=1$, and $\widetilde{\delta}'\simeq\widetilde{\delta}$. But Theorem 8.1 (6) shows that $\pi_2\simeq\text{c-Ind}_{\widetilde{H_2}}^{G_2}\widetilde{\delta}$ and $\pi_2'\simeq\text{c-Ind}_{\widetilde{H_2}}^{G_2}\widetilde{\delta}'$. So finally $\pi_2\simeq\pi_2'$.

Step II: The general case. Suppose now that $\pi_1\otimes\pi_2\in\mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi)$ and $\pi_1\otimes\pi_2'\in\mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi)$. Similarly as Step I, we can find $\sigma\otimes\delta\in\mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho)\cap\mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\pi_1\otimes\pi_2)\cap\mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\pi_1\otimes\pi_2')$. For π_1, applied Lemma 8.7, we find a tower of normal subgroups of G_1:

$$H_1=H_1^{(0)}\lhd H_1^{(1)}\lhd\cdots\lhd H_1^{(n)}\lhd H_1^{(n+1)}=G_1,$$

such that

(1) $H_1^{(n)}=\widetilde{H_1}$, and $H_1^{(i+1)}/H_1^{(i)}$ is a cyclic group, for $i=0,\cdots n-1$,
(2) $\mathcal{R}_{H_1^{(n)}}(\pi_1)\neq\emptyset$, for $i=0,\cdots n$,
(3) $\text{Res}_{H_1^{(i)}}^{H_1^{(i+1)}}(\sigma_{i+1})$ is multiplicity-free, for $\sigma_{i+1}\in\mathcal{R}_{H_1^{(i+1)}}(\pi_1)$ as i runs through $0,\cdots,n$.

Let $H_2^{(i)}$ be the inverse image of $\gamma(H_1^{(i)}/H_1)$ in G_2. By Lemma 10.2, $H_2^{(n)}=\widetilde{H_2}$, and $H_2^{(i+1)}/H_2^{(i)}$ is a cyclic group for $i=0,\cdots,n-1$. Note that according to Corollary 8.2, for $i=0,\cdots,n$, $\text{Res}_{H_2^{(i)}}^{G_2}\pi_2$ and $\text{Res}_{H_2^{(i)}}^{G_2}\pi_2'$, all are semi-simple. So we succeed in constructing a tower of normal subgroups of G_l for $l=1,2$, such that

(1) $\mathcal{R}_{H_1^{(i)}}(\pi_1)\neq\emptyset$, $\mathcal{R}_{H_2^{(i)}}(\pi_2\oplus\pi_2')\neq\emptyset$, for $i\in\{0,\cdots,n\}$.
(2) $\text{Res}_{H_1^{(i)}}^{H_1^{(i+1)}}\sigma_{i+1}$ and $\text{Res}_{H_2^{(i)}}^{H_2^{(i+1)}}\delta_{i+1}$ both are multiplicity-free, for each $\sigma_{i+1}\in\mathcal{R}_{H_1^{(i+1)}}(\pi_1)$, $\delta_{i+1}\in\mathcal{R}_{H_2^{(i+1)}}(\pi_2\oplus\pi_2')$.
(3) γ induces a bijective map $\gamma^{(i)}:H_1^{(i)}/H_1^{(i-1)}\longrightarrow H_2^{(i)}/H_2^{(i-1)}$ with the graph $\Gamma^{(i)}/H_1^{(i-1)}\times H_2^{(i-1)}$, where $\Gamma^{(i)}=\Gamma\cap(H_1^{(i)}\times H_2^{(i)})\cdot(H_1^{(i-1)}\times H_2^{(i-1)})$.

For each i, we introduce two representations $\rho^{(i)}=\text{c-Ind}_{\Gamma\cap(H_1^{(i)}\times H_2^{(i)})}^{H_1^{(i)}\times H_2^{(i)}}\rho$ and $\Delta^{(i)}=\text{c-Ind}_{\Gamma\cap(H_1^{(i)}\times H_2^{(i)})}^{\Gamma^{(i)}}\rho$. Then:

(a) $\text{Res}_{H_1^{(i)}\times H_2^{(i)}}^{\Gamma^{(i+1)}}\Delta^{(i+1)}\simeq\text{Res}_{H_1^{(i)}\times H_2^{(i)}}^{\Gamma^{(i+1)}}\left(\text{c-Ind}_{\Gamma\cap(H_1^{(i+1)}\times H_2^{(i+1)})}^{\Gamma^{(i+1)}}\rho\right)\simeq\rho^{(i)}$.
(b) $\rho^{(i)}\simeq\text{c-Ind}_{\Gamma^{(i)}}^{H_1^{(i)}\times H_2^{(i)}}\left(\text{c-Ind}_{\Gamma\cap(H_1^{(i)}\times H_2^{(i)})}^{\Gamma^{(i)}}\rho\right)=\text{c-Ind}_{\Gamma^{(i)}}^{H_1^{(i)}\times H_2^{(i)}}\Delta^{(i)}$.
(c) $\rho^{(n)}=\text{c-Ind}_{\Gamma}^{G_1\times G_2}\rho=\pi$.

By induction, the result of Step I shows that each $\rho^{(i)}$ is a theta representation with respect to $\mathcal{R}_{H_1^{(i)}}(\pi_1)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{H_2^{(i)}}(\pi_2\oplus\pi_2')$. In particular, considering $\rho^{(n)}$ we obtain $\pi_2\simeq\pi_2'$, and $m_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi,\pi_1\otimes\pi_2)=1$.

11. The theta representation II

In this section, we will let G_1,G_2 be locally profinite groups with normal subgroups H_1 and H_2 respectively such that there is a group isomorphism $\gamma:G_1/H_1\longrightarrow G_2/H_2$ with the graph $\Gamma/H_1\times H_2$. We will assume that G_1/H_1 is a compact group. For each $i=1,2$, we will assume that all irreducible smooth representations of G_i, H_i are admissible.

Let us fix a smooth representation (ρ,V) of Γ such that $\text{Res}_{H_1\times H_2}^{\Gamma}\rho$ is a theta representation of $H_1\times H_2$. We will write $\pi=\text{c-Ind}_{\Gamma}^{G_1\times G_2}\rho$.

Lemma 11.1. *If $(\pi_1,V_1)\in\text{Irr}(G_1)$, and $(\pi_2,V_2)\in\text{Irr}(G_2)$, such that $\pi_1\otimes\pi_2\in\mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi)$.*

(1) *If $\sigma\in\mathcal{R}_{H_1}(\pi_1)$, then there exists a unique element $\delta\in\mathcal{R}_{H_2}(\pi_2)$ such that $\sigma\otimes\delta\in\mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho)$.*

(2) *Suppose* $\sigma\otimes\delta\in\mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho)$. *Then* γ *induces a bijective map from* $I_{G_1}(\sigma)/H_1$ *to* $I_{G_2}(\delta)/H_2$ *with the graph* $\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}/H_1\times H_2$, *where* $\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}=\Gamma\cap\big(I_{G_1}(\sigma)\times I_{G_2}(\delta)\big)$.

(3) *Suppose that* $(\sigma,\mathbb{U})$, $(\delta,\mathbb{V})$ *are the irreducible constituents of* $\mathrm{Res}^{G_1}_{H_1}\pi_1$ *and* $\mathrm{Res}^{G_2}_{H_2}\pi_2$ *respectively, then* γ *induces a bijective map from* $I^0_{G_1}(\sigma)/H_1$ *to* $I^0_{G_2}(\delta)/H_2$ *with the graph* $\Gamma^0_{(\sigma,\delta)}/H_1\times H_2$, *where* $\Gamma^0_{(\sigma,\delta)}=\Gamma\cap\big(I^0_{G_1}(\sigma)\times I^0_{G_2}(\delta)\big)$.

Proof. 1) By Frobenius Reciprocity, as is easy to see that $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma(\rho)\cap\mathcal{R}_\Gamma(\pi_1\otimes\pi_2)\neq\emptyset$. A priori, we can find $\sigma_1\otimes\delta_1\in\mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho)\cap\mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\pi_1\otimes\pi_2)$. By Lemma 9.5, there is $tH_1\in G_1/H_1$ such that $\sigma_1^t\simeq\sigma$. Let $\gamma(tH_1)=sH_2\in G_2/H_2$ with $(t,s)\in\Gamma$, and $\delta\simeq\delta_1^s$. Then $\sigma\otimes\delta\simeq(\sigma_1\otimes\delta_1)^{t\otimes s}\in\mathcal{R}_\Gamma(\rho^{(t,s)})=\mathcal{R}_\Gamma(\rho)$. The uniqueness is clear.
2) Assume $g_1H_1\in I_{G_1}(\sigma)/H_1$, and $\gamma(g_1H_1)=g_2H_2\in G_2/H_2$. We then have $\sigma^{g_1}\otimes\delta^{g_2}\simeq\sigma\otimes\delta^{g_2}\in\mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho)$, which implies that $\delta^{g_2}\simeq\delta$, and then $g_2\in I_{G_2}(\delta)$. The converse also holds, so γ maps $I_{G_1}(\sigma)/H_1$ onto $I_{G_2}(\delta)/H_2$ with the graph $\Gamma\cap\big(I_{G_1}(\sigma)\times I_{G_2}(\delta)\big)/H_1\times H_2$.
3) Let $(\widetilde{\sigma},\widetilde{\mathbb{U}})$ (resp. $(\widetilde{\delta},\widetilde{\mathbb{W}})$) be the smooth irreducible representation of $I_{G_1}(\sigma)$ (resp. $I_{G_2}(\delta)$) defined in Lemma 9.7 relative to σ(resp. δ), so that $\pi_1\simeq\text{c-Ind}^{G_1}_{I_{G_1}(\sigma)}\widetilde{\sigma}$ and $\pi_2\simeq\text{c-Ind}^{G_2}_{I_{G_2}(\delta)}\widetilde{\delta}$. Notice that $(\pi_1)^\vee\simeq\mathrm{Ind}^{G_1}_{I_{G_1}(\sigma)}\check{\widetilde{\sigma}}=\text{c-Ind}^{G_1}_{I_{G_1}(\sigma)}\check{\widetilde{\sigma}}$, and $(\pi_2)^\vee\simeq\text{c-Ind}^{G_2}_{I_{G_2}(\delta)}\check{\widetilde{\delta}}$. Let p (resp. q) be the canonical projection from $\widetilde{\mathbb{U}}$ to $\mathbb{U}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}$ to $\mathbb{W}$). By virtue of Frobenius Reciprocity, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1\times G_2}\big(\text{c-Ind}^{G_1\times G_2}_{\Gamma}\rho,\pi_1\otimes\pi_2\big)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma\Big(\rho,\big(\mathrm{Res}^{G_1\times G_1}_{\Gamma}\check{\pi}_1\otimes\text{c-Ind}^{G_2}_{I_{G_2}(\delta)}\check{\widetilde{\delta}}\big)^\vee\Big).$$

By Proposition 2.10, we have

$$\mathrm{Res}^{G_1\times G_2}_{\Gamma}\big(\text{c-Ind}^{G_1\times G_2}_{G_1\times I_{G_2}(\delta)}(\check{\pi}_1\otimes\check{\widetilde{\delta}})\big)\simeq\text{c-Ind}^{\Gamma}_{\Gamma\cap\left(G_1\times I_{G_2}(\delta)\right)}(\check{\pi}_1\otimes\check{\widetilde{\delta}})\simeq\text{c-Ind}^{\Gamma}_{\Gamma\cap\left(I_{G_1}(\sigma)\times I_{G_2}(\delta)\right)}(\check{\pi}_1\otimes\check{\widetilde{\delta}}).$$

Moreover, $\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}$ is an open subgroup of Γ, so

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1\times G_2}\big(\text{c-Ind}^{G_1\times G_2}_{\Gamma}\rho,\pi_1\otimes\pi_2\big)=\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma\Big(\rho,\text{c-Ind}^{\Gamma}_{\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}}(\check{\pi}_1\otimes\check{\widetilde{\delta}})^\vee\Big)$$

$$\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}}\Big(\rho,(\check{\pi}_1\otimes\check{\widetilde{\delta}})^\vee\Big)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_{I_{G_1}(\sigma)\times I_{G_2}(\delta)}\Big(\text{c-Ind}^{I_{G_1}(\sigma)\times I_{G_2}(\delta)}_{\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}}\rho,\pi_1\otimes\widetilde{\delta}\Big).$$

Note that every element of the last term also belongs to

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{I_{G_1}(\sigma)\times H_2}\Big(\text{c-Ind}^{I_{G_1}(\sigma)\times I_{G_2}(\delta)}_{\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}}\rho,\pi_1\otimes\widetilde{\delta}\Big)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_{I_{G_1}(\sigma)\times H_2}\Big(\text{c-Ind}^{I_{G_1}(\sigma)\times H_2}_{H_1\times H_2}\rho,\pi_1\otimes\widetilde{\delta}\Big)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_{H_1\times H_2}\Big(\rho,(\mathrm{Res}^{G_1}_{H_1}\check{\pi}_1)^\vee\otimes\widetilde{\delta}\Big),$$

so it factors through one element in

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{H_1\times H_2}\Big(\rho,\widetilde{\sigma}\otimes\widetilde{\delta}\Big)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_{I_{G_1}(\sigma)\times I_{G_2}(\delta)}\Big(\text{c-Ind}^{I_{G_1}(\sigma)\times I_{G_2}(\delta)}_{\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}}\rho,\widetilde{\sigma}\otimes\widetilde{\delta}\Big),$$

because

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{H_1}\big(\sigma,(\mathrm{Res}^{G_1}_{H_1}\check{\pi}_1)^\vee\big)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\text{c-Ind}^{G_1}_{H_1}\sigma,\pi_1)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}\big(\text{c-Ind}^{G_1}_{J_{G_1}(\sigma)}(\text{c-Ind}^{J_{G_1}(\sigma)}_{H_1}\sigma),\pi_1\big)$$

$$\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_{J_{G_1}(\sigma)}\big(\text{c-Ind}^{J_{G_1}(\sigma)}_{H_1}\sigma,\widetilde{\sigma}\big)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_{H_1}(\sigma,\widetilde{\sigma}),$$

for an open normal subgroup $J_{G_1}(\sigma)$ of $I_{G_1}(\sigma)$ defined in Lemma 9.10. Finally we conclude that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1\times G_2}\big(\pi,\pi_1\otimes\pi_2\big)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_{I_{G_1}(\sigma)\times I_{G_2}(\delta)}\Big(\text{c-Ind}^{I_{G_1}(\sigma)\times I_{G_2}(\delta)}_{\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}}\rho,\widetilde{\sigma}\otimes\widetilde{\delta}\Big)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}}\Big(\rho,\widetilde{\sigma}\otimes\widetilde{\delta}\Big).$$

By Lemma 9.7, the restriction of $\widetilde{\sigma}\otimes\widetilde{\delta}$ to $\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}$ is a smooth representation of finite length, afforded a decreasing chaining of $\mathcal{H}\big(\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}\big)$-modules:

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{U}}\otimes\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}=\mathbb{V}_m\supseteq\cdots\supseteq\mathbb{V}_1=0.$$

So there is a nonzero $\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}$-homomorphism $f: V \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}_{i+1}/\mathbb{V}_i$, for some $i \in \{0,\cdots,m-1\}$ such that $\mathrm{Im}(f)\cap\mathbb{V}_i = 0$. Of course, $\mathrm{Im}(f) \simeq n\mathbb{U}\otimes\mathbb{V}$. Since $m_{H_1\times H_2}(V, \mathbb{U}\otimes\mathbb{W}) = 1$, the above $n = 1$. Let us consider the vector space $\mathrm{Hom}_{H_1\times H_2}(\mathrm{Im}(f), \mathbb{U}\otimes\mathbb{V})$ of dimension 1, equipped with the canonical action of $\Gamma^0_{(\sigma,\delta)}$. Similarly as before, it can be shown that the above vector space is a smooth representation of $\Gamma^0_{(\sigma,\delta)}$, so there is nontrivial element in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^0_{(\sigma,\delta)}}(\mathrm{Im}(f), \mathbb{U}\otimes\mathbb{V}\otimes\chi)$ for a character χ of $\Gamma^0_{(\sigma,\delta)}$. The result then follows. □

Keep the notations. We take an open normal subgroup $J_{G_1}(\sigma)$ of $I_{G_1}(\sigma)$ defined in Lemma 9.10, and write its image in $I_{G_2}(\delta)/H_2$ by $J_{G_2}(\delta)/H_2$ through γ. Let $(\mathfrak{n}_1,\mathcal{N}_1)$, $(\mathfrak{n}_2,\mathcal{N}_2)$, resp. $(\mathfrak{m}_1,\mathcal{M}_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{m}_2,\mathcal{M}_2)$ be two projective representations related to $(\widetilde{\sigma},\widetilde{\mathbb{U}})$, and $(\widetilde{\delta},\widetilde{\mathbb{W}})$ respectively in Theorem 9.12. Let Δ_1, Δ_2 be the relative sets of representatives for $I_{G_1}(\sigma)/J_{G_1}(\sigma)$ and $I_{G_2}(\delta)/J_{G_2}(\delta)$ respectively. On $\mathrm{Hom}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho, \widetilde{\sigma}\otimes\widetilde{\delta})$, we impose a natural $\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}/H_1\times H_2$-action defined as follows:

$$\varphi^{\overline{a}}(\widetilde{v}) := \widetilde{\sigma}\otimes\widetilde{\delta}(a)\varphi\big(\rho(a^{-1})\widetilde{v}\big)$$

for $\overline{a}\in\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}/H_1\times H_2$, $\varphi\in\mathrm{Hom}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho,\widetilde{\sigma}\otimes\widetilde{\delta})$, $\widetilde{v}\in\widetilde{\mathbb{U}}\otimes\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}$, and a representative a of $\overline{a}$ in $\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}$. So $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}}(\rho,\widetilde{\sigma}\otimes\widetilde{\delta}) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho, \mathcal{M}_1\otimes\mathcal{M}_2\otimes\mathcal{N}_1\otimes\mathcal{N}_2)^{\frac{\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}}{H_1\times H_2}}$. Recall that $m_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho,\mathfrak{n}_2\otimes\mathfrak{m}_2) = 1$, so let us fix a nontrivial element $F\in\mathrm{Hom}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho,\mathfrak{n}_2\otimes\mathfrak{m}_2)$. In view of Theorem 9.12, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho,\mathfrak{n}_1\otimes\mathfrak{n}_2\otimes\mathfrak{m}_1\otimes\mathfrak{m}_2) \simeq \mathcal{N}_1\otimes\mathcal{M}_1\otimes\mathrm{Hom}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho,\mathfrak{n}_2\otimes\mathfrak{m}_2) \simeq \mathcal{N}_1\otimes\mathcal{M}_1.$$

The action of $\frac{\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}}{H_1\times H_2}$ on $\mathcal{N}_1\otimes\mathcal{M}_1\otimes\mathrm{Hom}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho,\mathfrak{n}_2\otimes\mathfrak{m}_2)$ is described as follows:

- If $(x_1,x_2)\in\Gamma\cap\big(J_{G_1}(\sigma)\times J_{G_2}(\delta)\big)$, with the projection $(\overline{x_1},\overline{x_2})$ in $\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}/H_1\times H_2$, then $(\overline{x_1},\overline{x_2})\cdot\varphi\otimes\psi\otimes F(v) = \varphi\otimes\psi\otimes\widetilde{\sigma}(x_1)\otimes\widetilde{\delta}(x_2)F\big(\rho(x_1^{-1},x_2^{-1})v\big) = \beta(\overline{x_1},\overline{x_2})\varphi\otimes\psi\otimes F(v)$, for $\varphi\in\mathcal{N}_1$, $\psi\in\mathcal{M}_1$, and suitable $\beta(\overline{x_1},\overline{x_2})\in\mathbb{C}^\times$.
- If $(x_1,x_2)\in\Gamma\cap\big(I_{G_1}(\sigma)\times I_{G_2}(\delta)\big)$, with the decomposition $x_1 = gg_0$, $x_2 = hh_0$, for $g\in\Delta_1$, $g_0\in J_{G_1}(\sigma)$, $h\in\Delta_2$, $h_0\in J_{G_2}(\delta)$. Then

  $$(\overline{x_1},\overline{x_2})\cdot(\varphi\otimes\psi)\otimes F(v) = \big(\varphi\circ\mathcal{E}_g\otimes\psi\circ\mathcal{E}_h\big)\otimes\big(\mathcal{E}_g^{-1}\otimes\mathcal{E}_h^{-1}\big)\circ\widetilde{\sigma}(x_1)\otimes\widetilde{\delta}(x_2)F\big(\rho(x_1^{-1},x_2^{-1})v\big)$$

  for $v\in V$. Note that $(\mathcal{E}_g^{-1}\otimes\mathcal{E}_h^{-1})\circ\widetilde{\sigma}(x_1)\otimes\widetilde{\delta}(x_2)F\big(\rho(x_1^{-1},x_2^{-1})-\big)$ also lies in $\mathrm{Hom}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho,\mathbb{U}\otimes\mathbb{W})$, so it equals to $\beta(\overline{x_1},\overline{x_2})F(-)$ for some $\beta(\overline{x_1},\overline{x_2})\in\mathbb{C}^\times$. Finally we conclude that $(\overline{x_1},\overline{x_2})\cdot\varphi\otimes\psi\otimes F = \beta(\overline{x_1},\overline{x_2})(\varphi\circ\mathcal{E}_g)\otimes(\psi\circ\mathcal{E}_h)\otimes F$.

The representation $(\iota,\mathcal{N}_1\otimes\mathcal{M}_1)$ of $\frac{\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}}{H_1\times H_2}$ has the following properties:

Lemma 11.2. (1) *$(\iota,\mathcal{N}_1\otimes\mathcal{M}_1)$ is a smooth representation of $\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}/H_1\times H_2$.*

(2) *As a projective representation, $(\iota,\mathcal{N}_1\otimes\mathcal{M}_1)$ is isomorphic to* $(\mathrm{Res}^{\frac{I_{G_1}(\sigma)}{H_1}\times\frac{I_{G_2}(\delta)}{H_2}}_{\frac{\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}}{H_1\times H_2}}\mathfrak{n}_1\otimes\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathcal{N}_1\otimes\mathcal{M}_1)$.

Proof. Note that any nontrivial element in $\mathrm{Hom}_{H_1\times H_2}(V,\mathcal{N}_2\otimes\mathcal{M}_2)$ has the same kernel, as $\mathrm{Ker}F$, so that $\Gamma\cap\big(J_{G_1}(\sigma)\times J_{G_2}(\delta)\big)$ fixes $\mathrm{Ker}F$. Let $0\neq\overline{v}\in V/\mathrm{Ker}F$, and $F(\overline{v}) = \overline{u}\in\mathcal{N}_2\otimes\mathcal{M}_2$. Let $U_{\overline{v}}$ and $U_{\overline{u}}$ denote their stabilizers in $\Gamma\cap\big(J_{G_1}(\sigma)\times J_{G_2}(\delta)\big)$. Clearly, $\widetilde{\sigma}\otimes\widetilde{\delta}\big((x_1,x_2)\big)F\big(\rho(x_1^{-1},x_2^{-1})-\big) = F(-)$, for $(x_1,x_2)\in U_{\overline{v}}\cap U_{\overline{u}}$. So the first statement is proved. The second statement is clear according to the above explicit description of the action. □

Proposition 11.3. *Notations being as above, we then have*

(1) $m_{\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}}(\rho,\widetilde{\sigma}\otimes\widetilde{\delta}) = 1$.
(2) $m_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi,\pi_1\otimes\pi_2) = 1$.

Proof. Part(1) follows from above Lemma 11.2(2) and Lemma 3.7. Part (2) is a consequence of (1). □

Theorem 11.4. *π is a theta representation of $G_1 \times G_2$.*

Proof. The multiplicity-free property has been verified in Proposition 11.3 (2). So we may assume $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$, $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2' \in \mathcal{R}_{G_1 \times G_2}(\pi)$, and prove that $\pi_2 \simeq \pi_2'$. Keep the above notations, and define the corresponding notations of π_2' by adding $'$ to those of π_2. Therefore it is sufficient to show that $\widetilde{\delta} \simeq \widetilde{\delta}'$. To simply the discussion, we assume that $(\delta, \mathbb{W}) = (\delta', \mathbb{W}')$. Combing Lemma 11.2(2) with Proposition 11.3 (1) shows that the two projective representations $(\mathfrak{n}_1 \circ \gamma^{-1}, \mathcal{N}_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathcal{M}_1)$ of $I_{G_2}(\delta)/H_2$ are isomorphic. Therefore the two projective representations $(\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathcal{M}_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{m}_1', \mathcal{M}_1')$ of $I_{G_2}(\delta)/H_2$ are isomorphic. Notice that in Section 9.1, the definition of the projective representation $(\mathfrak{m}_2, \mathcal{M}_2)$ of $I_{G_2}(\delta)/H_2$ only dependent on the choice of the classes $\{\mathcal{E}_g \mid g \in \Delta_2\}$. So we identify the two projective smooth representations $(\mathfrak{m}_2, \mathcal{M}_2)$ and $(\mathfrak{m}_2', \mathcal{M}_2')$ of $I_{G_2}(\delta)/H_2$, which exports the result $\widetilde{\delta} \simeq \widetilde{\delta}' \otimes \chi$, for a character χ of $I_{G_2}(\delta)/H_2$. For simplicity, we also identify $\widetilde{\mathbb{V}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{V}}'$, and let $A : \widetilde{\mathbb{V}}' \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{V}}'$ be the intertwining operator between $\widetilde{\delta}$ and $\widetilde{\delta}' \otimes \chi$. According to the proof of Lemma 11.1 (3), there are nonzero $\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}$-morphisms:

$$f : V \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{U}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{V}}', \text{ and } f' : V \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{U}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{V}}'$$

with the images $(\widetilde{\delta}_f, \mathrm{Im}(f))$, $(\widetilde{\delta}_{f'}', \mathrm{Im}(f'))$ such that $\widetilde{\delta}_f|_{H_1 \times H_2} \simeq \mathbb{U} \otimes \mathbb{V} \simeq \widetilde{\delta}_{f'}'|_{H_1 \times H_2}$. As usual, the vector space $\mathrm{Hom}_{H_1 \times H_2}(\mathrm{Im}(f), \mathrm{Im}(f'))$ of dimension 1 is a $\mathcal{H}\big(\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}\big)$-module, and $\widetilde{\delta}_f \simeq \widetilde{\delta}_{f'}' \otimes \chi'$ for a character χ' of $\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}/H_1 \times H_2$. Let us take a nonzero element $B \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}}\big(\mathrm{Im}(f), \mathrm{Im}(f')\big)$. Then the following two maps: $V \overset{f}{\twoheadrightarrow} \mathrm{Im}(f) \overset{B}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{Im}(f')$, and $V \overset{f'}{\twoheadrightarrow} \mathrm{Im}(f')$, both belong to $\mathrm{Hom}_{H_1 \times H_2}(V, \mathrm{Im}(f'))$, so they are proportional and has the same kernel. Consequently, $\widetilde{\delta}_{f'}' \simeq \widetilde{\delta}_{f'}' \otimes \chi' \simeq \widetilde{\delta}_f$. In particular, for $v \in V$, $(g, \gamma(g)) \in \Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}$, we have

$$\widetilde{\sigma}(g) \otimes \widetilde{\delta}'(\gamma(g))\chi'(g, \gamma(g))B \circ f(v) = c\widetilde{\sigma}(g) \otimes \widetilde{\delta}'(\gamma(g))\, f'(v),$$

for a constant $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Hence χ' is the trivial character. Let us now consider the following map:

$$f'' : V \overset{f}{\longrightarrow} \widetilde{\mathbb{U}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{V}}' \overset{\mathrm{Id}_{\widetilde{\mathbb{U}}} \otimes A}{\longrightarrow} \widetilde{\mathbb{U}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{V}}'.$$

and denote its image by $(\widetilde{\delta}_{f''}', \mathrm{Im}(f''))$. Then $\widetilde{\delta}_{f''}' \simeq \widetilde{\delta}_{f'}' \otimes \chi$. Similarly, there is a nonzero element $C \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}}\big(\mathrm{Im}(f''), \mathrm{Im}(f')\big)$. And the following two maps: $V \overset{f''}{\twoheadrightarrow} \mathrm{Im}(f'') \overset{C}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{Im}(f')$, and $V \overset{f'}{\twoheadrightarrow} \mathrm{Im}(f')$, are proportional. For $v \in V$, $(g, \gamma(g)) \in \Gamma_{(\sigma,\delta)}$, we have

$$\widetilde{\sigma}(g) \otimes \widetilde{\delta}'(\gamma(g))\chi(\gamma(g))C \circ f''(v) = c'\widetilde{\sigma}(g) \otimes \widetilde{\delta}'(\gamma(g))\, f'(v),$$

for a constant $c' \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Hence χ is also the trivial character. This completes the proof. □

12. The first relation between two theta representations

In this section, we indicate some connection between the two theta representations $\mathrm{Res}^{\Gamma}_{H_1 \times H_2}\rho$ and c-$\mathrm{Ind}^{G_1 \times G_2}_{\Gamma}\rho$ constructed in Sections 10, 11. The first result is originated from Roberts Brooks' Lemma 4.2 in [R].

Proposition 12.1. *In Theorem 10.1, if $\pi_1 \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_1)$, $\pi_2 \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_2)$ with the decompositions*

$$\pi_1 = \oplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{R}_{H_1}(\pi_1)} m_1 \sigma, \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_2 = \oplus_{\delta \in \mathcal{R}_{H_2}(\pi_2)} m_2 \delta$$

such that $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \in \mathcal{R}_{G_1 \times G_2}(\pi)$, then

1. *there exists a bijective map $\theta_\rho : \mathcal{R}_{H_1}(\pi_1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{H_2}(\pi_2); \sigma_\alpha \longmapsto \delta_\alpha$ such that $\sigma_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_{H_1 \times H_2}(\rho)$ and $\sigma_\alpha \otimes \delta_\beta \notin \mathcal{R}_{H_1 \times H_2}(\rho)$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$.*
2. *$m_1 = 1$ if and only if $m_2 = 1$.*

Proof. 1) The condition $\pi_1\otimes\pi_2\in\mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi)$ tells us that $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma(\pi_1\otimes\pi_2)\cap\mathcal{R}_\Gamma(\rho)\neq\emptyset$. So a priori, there is $\sigma_1\otimes\delta_1\in\mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\pi_1\otimes\pi_2)\cap\mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho)$. Associated to $\sigma_\alpha\in\mathcal{R}_{H_1}(\pi_1)$, there exists $t_\alpha H_1\in G_1/H_1$ such that $\sigma_1^{t_\alpha}\simeq\sigma_\alpha$. Let $\gamma(t_\alpha H_1)=s_\alpha H_2\in G_2/H_2$ with $(t_\alpha,s_\alpha)\in\Gamma$, and write $\delta_\alpha=\delta_1^{s_\alpha}$. Then $\sigma_\alpha\otimes\delta_\alpha=\sigma_1^{t_\alpha}\otimes\delta_1^{s_\alpha}\in\mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}\big((\pi_1\otimes\pi_2)^{(t_\alpha,s_\alpha)}\big)\cap\mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho^{(t_\alpha,s_\alpha)})=\mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\pi_1\otimes\pi_2)\cap\mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho)$. By the property of graph, δ_α is uniquely determined by σ_α and vice-versa. So θ_ρ is well-defined and injective. The surjectivity follows from the other-side consideration.
2) Without loss of generality, we assume $m_2=1$ and prove the result by induction on the cardinality of G_1/H_1. The case $G_1=H_1$ being trivial, we assume $G_1\neq H_1$. By Lemma 8.6, we may find a proper subgroups G' of G_1 such that $\mathrm{Res}^{G_1}_{G_1'}\pi_1$ is multiplicity-free. Write G_2' for the inverse image of $\gamma(G_1'/H_1)$ in G_2. Note that associated to the theta representation $\rho'=\text{c-Ind}^{G_1'\times G_2'}_{\Gamma\cap(G_1'\times G_2')}\rho$, there is a natural theta map, denoted by $\theta_{\rho'}$. By Clifford-Mackey theory, there exist the decompositions

$$\pi_1|_{G_1'}=\oplus_{\alpha'\in\mathcal{A}}\sigma_{\alpha'},\quad\text{ and }\quad\pi_2|_{G_2'}=\oplus_{\beta'\in\mathcal{A}}\delta'_\beta.$$

For simplicity, we arrange the indices so that $\theta_{\rho'}(\sigma_\alpha)=\delta_\alpha$. By induction, for $\sigma'_\alpha\in\mathcal{R}_{G_1'}(\pi_1)$ and $\delta'_\alpha\in\mathcal{R}_{G_2'}(\pi_2)$, we have $\sigma'_\alpha=\oplus_{i\in I_\alpha}\sigma_{\alpha i}$ and $\delta'_\alpha=\oplus_{j\in I_\alpha}\delta_{\alpha j}$. For simplicity, we assume $\theta_\rho(\sigma_{\alpha i})=\delta_{\alpha i}$ where θ_ρ is the theta map associated to ρ. By hypothesis, $\sigma_{\alpha i}\ncong\sigma_{\beta j}$, if $\alpha\neq\beta$ or $i\neq j$. So $\delta_{\alpha i}=\theta_\rho(\sigma_{\alpha i})\ncong\delta_{st}=\theta_\rho(\sigma_{st})$ for $s\neq\alpha$ or $t\neq i$, and it forces $m_1=1$. □

In Theorems 10.1, 11.4, write $\pi^\xi=\text{c-Ind}^{G_1\times G_2}_\Gamma(\rho\otimes\xi)$, for $\xi\in\mathrm{Irr}(\Gamma/H_1\times H_2)$.

Lemma 12.2. *π^ξ is also a theta representation of $G_1\times G_2$.*

Proof. The restrictions of $\rho\otimes\xi$ and ρ to $H_1\times H_2$ are the same, so the result also holds. □

In the rest of this section, we assume that both G_1/H_1, G_2/H_2 are finite groups in Theorems 10.1, 11.4. Let us denote by $\mathcal{X}=\left\{\Xi\in\mathrm{Irr}(\frac{G_1\times G_2}{H_1\times H_2})\mid\mathrm{Hom}_{\frac{\Gamma}{H_1\times H_2}}(\Xi,\xi)\neq0\right\}$, and write $\mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi)\otimes\mathcal{X}=\{\pi_1\otimes\pi_2\otimes\Xi\mid\pi_1\otimes\pi_2\in\mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi),\Xi\in\mathcal{X}\}$.

Lemma 12.3. $\mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi^\xi)=\mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi)\otimes\mathcal{X}$.

Proof. Let $\xi\in\mathfrak{X}$, $\Xi\in\mathcal{X}$ and $\pi_1\in\mathrm{Irr}(G_1)$, $\pi_2\in\mathrm{Irr}(G_2)$. Suppose $m_{\frac{\Gamma}{H_1\times H_2}}(\Xi,\xi)=m$. By virtue of Frobenius Reciprocity, we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi,\pi_1\otimes\pi_2)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(\rho,\pi_1\otimes\pi_2)\simeq\frac{1}{m}\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(\rho\otimes\xi,\pi_1\otimes\pi_2\otimes\Xi|_\Gamma)\simeq\frac{1}{m}\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi^\xi,\pi_1\otimes\pi_2\otimes\Xi)$. This implies the result. □

Define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi)|_{H_1\times H_2}=\{&\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2|\text{ there exists some }\pi_1\otimes\pi_2\in\mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi),\\&\text{such that }\sigma_i\in\mathcal{R}_{H_i}(\pi_i)\text{ and }\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2\in\mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho),i=1,2\}\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 12.4. $\mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho)=\mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\pi)|_{H_1\times H_2}$.

Proof. For $\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2\in\mathcal{R}_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho)$, we then have $1=m_{H_1\times H_2}(\rho,\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2)=m_\Gamma\big(\rho,\mathrm{Ind}^\Gamma_{H_1\times H_2}(\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2)\big)=m_\Gamma(\rho,\widetilde{\sigma})$ for some $\widetilde{\sigma}\in\mathrm{Irr}(\Gamma)\cap\mathcal{R}_\Gamma(\mathrm{Ind}^\Gamma_{H_1\times H_2}(\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2))$. If $\pi_1\otimes\pi_2\in\mathcal{R}_{G_1\times G_2}(\mathrm{Ind}^{G_1\times G_2}_\Gamma(\widetilde{\sigma}))$, then $\widetilde{\sigma}\in\mathcal{R}_\Gamma(\pi_1\otimes\pi_2)$ and $m_\Gamma(\pi,\pi_1\otimes\pi_2)=m_\Gamma(\rho,\pi_1\otimes\pi_2)\neq0$. From definition, we know $\sigma_1\in\mathcal{R}_{H_1}(\widetilde{\sigma})\subseteq\mathcal{R}_{H_1}(\pi_1),\sigma_2\in\mathcal{R}_{H_2}(\widetilde{\sigma})\subseteq\mathcal{R}_{H_2}(\pi_2)$. □

REFERENCES

[AM] T.Austin, C. C. Moore, *Continuity properties of measurable group cohomology*, Math. Ann. 356 (2013), no. 3, 885-937.
[B1] I.N. Bernstein, *All reductive p-adic groups are of type I*, Functional Anal. Appli 8 (1974) 91-93.
[B2] J. Bernstein, *Second adjointness for representations of p-adic groups*, unpublised texts.
[BD] J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, *Le "centre" de Bernstein*, in "Représentations des groupe réductifs sur un corps local, Travaux en cours," Hermann, Paris, 1984.

[BH] C.J. Bushnell, G. Henniart, *The local langlands conjecture for GL*(2), Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 335. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

[BZ] I.N. Bernstein, A.V.Zelveinsky, *Representations of the group* $\mathrm{GL}(n,F)$ *where* F *is a non-archimedean local field*, Russ. Math. Surv. 31(3). 1-68(1976).

[C] W. Casselman, *Introduction to the theory of admissible representations of p -adic reductive groups*, preprint, 1995.

[CR] C.W. Curtis, I. Reiner, *Methods of Representation Theory. Vol. I. With applications to finite groups and orders*, Wiley Classics Lib. John Wiley and Sons (1990).

[GT] W.T. Gan and W. Tantono, *The local Langlands conjecture for* $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$ *II: The case of inner forms*, preprint.

[MVW] C.Moeglin, M.-F.Vigneras, J.-L. Waldspurger, *Correspondances de Howe sur un corps p-adique*, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol 1921, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.

[R] B. Roberts, *The theta correspondence for similitudes*, Israel Journal of Mathemathics, 94 (1996), 285-317.

[S] T. Springer, *Reductive groups*, in Automorphic forms, representations and *L*-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, vol. XXXIII (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1979), 3-27.

ROOM 309, MORNINGSIDE CENTER OF MATHEMATICS, 55 ZHONG GUAN CUN EAST ROAD, HAIDIAN DISTRICT, BEIJING, 100190, P.R. CHINA.

E-mail address: cwang@amss.ac.cn